

Baler: A tool for machine learning based data compression


## The problem

- Problem: Too much data, too little storage
- Not unique to LHC Experiments
- High demand for compression


ATLAS HL-LHC Computing Conceptual Design Report Calafiura, P ; Catmore, J ; Costanzo, D ; Di Girolamo, A http://cds.cern.ch/record/2729668/

## A Solution

- One approach: Lossy compression
- One problem: Lossy compression needs to be tailored
- Solution: Lossy Machine Learning based compression



## Lossy compression

- Works well in cases where more data is better
- Particle physics: where more events compensate for the loss in precision
- Works well where the only option is to delete the data
- Computational Fluid dynamics: No infrastructure to store generated data for long times after publication
- We have created a tool called "Baler" to help investigate the viability of this compression
- Multidisciplinary tool
- Distributed and developed as an open source project
- https://github.com/baler-collaboration/baler
- Simple to run with python through Poetry

```
poetry run python baler --project=CMS --mode=train
```

- Docker implementation also available
- Docker-Sponsored Open Source program



## Baler Workflow

Train


## Compress



- Data consists of 2D slice of the x-velocity component for a liquid flowing over a cube
- The compressed file is $0.5 \%$ the size of the input
- We present:
- Data before and after compression+decompression
- Difference between before and after



## Methodology

- HEP Data

- ~600 000 jets
- 24 variables per jet compressed to 14 variables -> 58\% original size
- Evaluation Metrics:

Relative Difference $=\frac{\text { reconstructed }- \text { original }}{\text { original }}$

Difference $=$ reconstructed - original

## Results in HEP: Transverse Momentum

Variable Distributions


## Results in HEP: Transverse Momentum




## Results in HEP: Transverse Momentum





## Results in HEP: Transverse Momentum










## Results in HEP: Pseudorapidity, $\eta$






## Results in HEP: Polar Angle, $\Phi$






## Results in HEP: Neutral Hadron Energy





- HEP
- Baler -> OK reconstruction
- gzip -> Perfect reconstruction

58\% original file size $25 \%$ original file size

- Reason for the big difference:
- A lot of repeating values in HEP data is beneficial for methods like gzip
- Future work:
- Run on other datasets
- Evaluate impact on full physics analysis


## CFD Auxiliary file dilemma

- CFD
- Baler -> Good reconstruction
- gzip -> Lossless reconstruction
- Reason for the big difference:
- Few repeating values in CFD data
- One problem... Auxiliary files
- Input CFD data size: ~1.2 MB
- Decoder: ~600 MB
- Future work:
- Run on large 3D time series datasets
$0.5 \%$ original file size $50 \%$ original file size
- Open-source tool for machine learning based compression
- HEP results:
- Compression to $58 \%$ of input size
- On average jet pT and mass differ on order of 0.2\%, eta and phi 0.003\%
- Other 20 variables have varying performance
- CFD results:
- Huge compression to $0.5 \%$ of input size, but large auxiliary files
- Small point wise error
- Future improvements:
- More compression on more suitable files for HEP
- Larger input files for CFD


## The Baler Team

- Big thank you from the Baler team!
- For more details see: https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.02283
- Try our working examples at our GitHub repository
- https://github.com/baler-collaboration/baler
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## Backup slides

## 1.7 x vs 6 x compression

## 1.7x compression

mHFEMEnergy [GeV]


## 6x compression

mHFEMEnergy [GeV]


Table 2: Residual and Response distribution means and RMS values for all variables in the dataset. These values are presented at $R=1.7$, and all values have been averaged over 5 runs, with an added statistical error of two standard deviations.

| Variable ( $R=1.7$ ) | Response |  | Residual |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | RMS | Mean | RMS |
| $p_{T}$ | $-1.07 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.34 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.09 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.56 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-1.44 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.04 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.12 \times 10^{-1} \pm 5.29 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\eta$ | $3.75 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.11 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.12 \times 10^{-1} \pm 1.17$ | $-1.12 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.67 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.09 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.45 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\phi$ | $3.44 \times 10^{-4} \pm 8.64 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.93 \times 10^{-1} \pm 4.32 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.45 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.80 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.91 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.12 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mass | $2.39 \times 10^{-1} \pm 7.87$ | $4.38 \times 10^{3} \pm 4.47 \times 10^{3}$ | $-8.05 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.51 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.98 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.42 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| mJetArea | $6.12 \times 10^{-5} \pm 1.81 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.13 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.48 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.21 \times 10^{-5} \pm 8.90 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.10 \times 10^{-4} \pm 5.77 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| mChargedHadronEnergy | $1.58 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.70 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.85 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.30 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.68 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.43 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.71 \times 10^{-1} \pm 7.33 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| mNeutralHadronEnergy | $7.05 \times 10^{-2} \pm 9.88 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.22 \times 10^{-1} \pm 6.59 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.77 \times 10^{-1} \pm 5.23 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.94 \times 10^{-1} \pm 2.26 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| mPhotonEnergy | $-2.75 \times 10^{-2} \pm 7.48 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.84 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.09 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-8.00 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.87 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.52 \times 10^{-1} \pm 1.77 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| mElectronEnergy | $-7.71 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.05 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.44 \times 10^{-1} \pm 7.47 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.71 \times 10^{-2} \pm 5.32 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.40 \times 10^{-2} \pm 4.15 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| mMuonEnergy | $1.29 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.97 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.04 \times 10^{-2} \pm 9.77 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.18 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.46 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{-2} \pm 7.05 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mHFHadronEnergy | $-1.10 \times 10^{-2} \pm 4.66 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.77 \times 10^{-1} \pm 2.48 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-3.15 \times 10^{-1} \pm 1.07$ | $1.85 \pm 7.31 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| mHFEMEnergy | $1.78 \times 10^{-3} \pm 7.40 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.41 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.63 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.22 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8.26 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.93 \times 10^{-2} \pm 5.54 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| mChargedHadronMultiplicity | $-1.00 \times 10^{-3} \pm 5.04 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.48 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.90 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-3.13 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.68 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.50 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| mNeutralHadronMultiplicity | $-1.22 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.29 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.76 \times 10^{-4} \pm 9.42 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-1.19 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.51 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.89 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.20 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mPhotonMultiplicity | $-1.14 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.62 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.72 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.14 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-2.69 \times 10^{-3} \pm 7.44 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.92 \times 10^{-3} \pm 7.12 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mElectronMultiplicity | $1.07 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.87 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.37 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.37 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-1.54 \times 10^{-5} \pm 9.96 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.11 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.75 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| mMuonMultiplicity | $1.12 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.22 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.51 \times 10^{-3} \pm 6.69 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.67 \times 10^{-5} \pm 1.16 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.41 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.35 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| mHFHadronMultiplicity | $-1.34 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.84 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.53 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.94 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-2.67 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.33 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.44 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.05 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mHFEMMultiplicity | $2.41 \times 10^{-4} \pm 2.51 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.98 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.33 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.98 \times 10^{-4} \pm 4.16 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.08 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.95 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mChargedEmEnergy | $-7.72 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.05 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.44 \times 10^{-1} \pm 7.48 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.72 \times 10^{-2} \pm 5.30 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.40 \times 10^{-2} \pm 4.15 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| mChargedMuEnergy | $1.29 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.97 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.05 \times 10^{-2} \pm 9.78 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.18 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.46 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{-2} \pm 7.07 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| mNeutralEmEnergy | $-1.73 \times 10^{-2} \pm 5.42 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.89 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8.87 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-6.70 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.57 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.75 \times 10^{-1} \pm 1.81 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| mChargedMultiplicity | $-9.83 \times 10^{-4} \pm 5.04 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.46 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.88 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-3.07 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.83 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.74 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.51 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| mNeutralMultiplicity | $-8.97 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.42 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.56 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.93 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-5.36 \times 10^{-3} \pm 7.37 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.34 \times 10^{-3} \pm 6.60 \times 10^{-3}$ |

