Class 2: Interpreting RBMs

## Plan for the lecturers

- Elass 1: Introduction to Energy Based Models
- Class 2: Interpretability. How can we learn from trained networks?
- Class 3: Training optimization, the role of MCMC. How can we improve the training mechanisms by understanding their physics?

Summary $\quad p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})}}{Z_{\theta}}$

- Application 1: Interpretation of the energy function: $E_{\theta}(x)$
- Intro: General applications of inverse statistical mechanics
- Mapping the RBM to a multi-body interaction Ising model
- Inference of interaction networks
- Application 2: Exploring the inferred probability distribution function: $p_{\theta}(x)$
- Probe perturbately the free-enery landscape using statistical physics
- Use the training dynamics to reveal relational trees between data:
- Hierarchical clustering
- Unsupervised classification


# Interpreting the energy function 

## Inverse Ising problem



$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\text {Ising 2D }}(\boldsymbol{S})=-\hat{J} \sum_{\langle, j} S_{i} S_{j} \\
\hat{\beta}=1 / \hat{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Inverse Ising problem



$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\text {Ising 2D }}(\boldsymbol{S})=-\hat{J} \sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} S_{i} S_{j} \\
\hat{\beta}=1 / \hat{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

Am I able to infer which was the interaction model that generated it?

$$
E_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{S})=-\sum_{i j} J_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}-\sum_{i} h_{i} S_{i}
$$



## Inverse Ising problem



Am I able to infer which was the interaction model that generated it?

$$
E_{\text {Ihh }}(\boldsymbol{S})=-\sum J_{i i} S_{i} S_{i}-\sum h_{i} S_{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\text {data }}(\boldsymbol{S}) & =\frac{1}{Z} e^{\beta \hat{J} \sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} S_{i} S_{j}} \\
p_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{S}) & =\frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{i j} J_{i j} S_{i}+\sum_{i} h_{i} S_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\beta \hat{J}_{i j}=J_{i j} \quad h_{i}=0
$$

Solution
is unique!

## Inverse Ising problem



Am I able to infer which was the interaction model that generated it?

$$
E_{\text {Ih. }}(\boldsymbol{S})=-\sum J_{i j} S_{i} S_{i}-\sum h_{i} S_{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\text {data }}(\boldsymbol{S}) & =\frac{1}{Z} e^{\beta \hat{J} \sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} S_{i} S_{j}} \\
p_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{S}) & =\frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{i j} J_{i j} S_{i}+\sum_{i} h_{i} S_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\beta \hat{J}_{i j}=J_{i j} \quad h_{i}=0
$$




Fixed point

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S_{i} S_{j}\right\rangle_{p_{J, h}} & =\left\langle S_{i} S_{j}\right\rangle_{p_{\text {data }}} \\
\left\langle S_{i}\right\rangle_{p_{J, h}} & =\left\langle S_{i}\right\rangle_{p_{\text {data }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inverse Ising problem



Am I able to infer which was the interaction model that generated it?

$$
E_{\text {Ihh }}(\boldsymbol{S})=-\sum J_{i i} S_{i} S_{i}-\sum h_{i} S_{i}
$$

$$
\beta \hat{J}_{i j} \neq J_{i j} \quad h_{i} \neq 0
$$

We only $\underset{p_{\mathcal{D}}(x)}{ }=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \delta\left(x-x^{(m)}\right)$
Know the data

$$
p_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{S})=\frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{i j} J_{i j} S_{i}+\sum_{i} h_{i} S_{j}}
$$

## Applications I: reconstruction of neural connections



Roudi, Y., Aurell, E., \& Hertz, J. A. (2009)
Schneidman, E., Berry, M. J., Segev, R., \& Bialek, W. (2006)

A


## Applications II: Inverse Potts Direct coupling analysis (DCA)

$$
E_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{x})=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N_{v}} \sum_{q_{1}, 2}^{N_{q}} J_{i j}^{q_{1}, q_{2}} \delta_{x_{i}, q_{1}} \delta_{S_{i}, q_{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{v}} \sum_{q=1}^{N_{q}} h_{i}^{q} \delta_{x_{i}, q} \quad x_{i}=\{1, \ldots, q\}
$$



## Applications II: Inverse Potts Direct coupling analysis (DCA)

$$
E_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{x})=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N_{v}} \sum_{q_{1}, 2}^{N_{q}} J_{i j}^{q_{1}, q_{2}} \delta_{x_{i}, q_{1}} \delta_{S_{i}, q_{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{v}} \sum_{q=1}^{N_{q}} h_{i}^{q} \delta_{x_{i}, q} \quad x_{i}=\{1, \ldots, q\}
$$



Cocco, Feinauer, Figliuzzi, Monasson. Weigt, Rep. Prog. Phys. 81 (2018) 032601

## Ex. Inverse Potts Direct coupling analysis (DCA)



Cocco, Feinauer, Figliuzzi, Monasson. Weigt, Rep. Prog. Phys. 81 (2018) 032601
Rodriguez-Rivas, J., Croce, G., Muscat, M., \& Weigt, M.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, (2022).

## Pairwise models : The Boltzmann machine

$$
E_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{x})=-\sum_{i j} J_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}-\sum_{i} h_{i} x_{i}
$$

Simple and easy to interpret, but are strongly limited...


## Pairwise models : The Boltzmann machine

$$
E_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{x})=-\sum_{i j} J_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}-\sum_{i} h_{i} x_{i}
$$

Simple and easy to interpret, but are strongly limited...

| 3 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 4 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

BM inferred pairwise coupling matrix


## Generation

## Pairwise models : The Bolt

We need to encode higher order correlations !

$$
E_{J, h}(\boldsymbol{x})=-\sum_{i j} J_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}-\sum_{i} h_{i} x_{i}
$$

|  |
| :---: |
| aoan |
| anaja a a a a a |
| ajajajazaja |
| aja a a dadadad |
| वavana anana |
| ajanajajajaja |

Simple and easy to interpret, but are strongly limited...

| 3 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 4 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

learning


## Encoding high-order correlations



$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}=\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle_{\text {data }} \\
& f_{i j}=\left\langle x_{i} x_{j}\right\rangle_{\text {data }} \\
& f_{i j k}=\left\langle x_{i} x_{j} x_{k}\right\rangle_{\text {data }} \\
& f_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}=\left\langle x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{n}}\right\rangle_{\text {data }}
\end{aligned}
$$

\# parameters diverge too fast...

$$
E(\boldsymbol{x})=-\sum_{i} h_{i} x_{i}-\sum_{i j} J_{i j}^{(2)} x_{i} x_{j}-\sum_{i j k} J_{i j k}^{(3)} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k}-\sum_{i j k l} J_{i j k l}^{(4)} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}+\cdots
$$

## Encoding high-order correlations

But in real data the interactions are sparse

Only some n-tuples of variables are correlated

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}=\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle_{\text {data }} \\
& f_{i j}=\left\langle x_{i} x_{j}\right\rangle_{\text {data }} \\
& f_{i j k}=\left\langle x_{i} x_{j} x_{k}\right\rangle_{\text {data }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}=\left\langle x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{n}}\right\rangle_{\text {data }}
$$

\# parameters diverge too fast...

$$
E(\boldsymbol{x})=-\sum_{i} h_{i} x_{i}-\sum_{i j} J_{i j}^{(2)} x_{i} x_{j}-\sum_{i j k} J_{i j k}^{(3)} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k}-\sum_{i j k l} J_{i j k l}^{(4)} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} x_{l}+\cdots
$$

## Alternative solution: add hidden variables



$$
\mathcal{H}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \tau\right)=-\tau\left(w_{1} S_{1}+w_{2} S_{2}\right)
$$

$S_{1} \quad S_{2} \quad S_{i}= \pm 1 \quad$ Marginal probability

$$
p\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)=\frac{e^{-\mathcal{H}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)}}{Z}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}=-\log \sum_{\tau= \pm 1} e^{\tau\left(w_{1} S_{1}+w_{2} S_{2}\right)} & =-\log 2 \cosh \left[w_{1} S_{1}+w_{2} S_{2}\right] \\
& =-J S_{1} S_{2}-J
\end{aligned}
$$

encoding is not unique !

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\cosh \left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)}{\cosh \left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)}=e^{2 J} J>0
$$

## Alternative solution: add hidden variables

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\tau=\begin{array}{l}
\tau= \pm 1
\end{array} & \mathcal{H}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \tau\right)=-w \tau\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right) \\
w \\
S_{1} S_{2} S_{i}= \pm 1 & \begin{array}{c}
\text { Marginal } \\
\text { probability }
\end{array} \\
S_{1}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)=\frac{e^{-\mathcal{H}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)}}{Z}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}=-\log \sum_{\tau= \pm 1} e^{w \tau\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right)} & =-\log 2 \cosh \left[w\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =-J S_{1} S_{2}-J
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \cosh 2 w=e^{2 J}
$$

$$
J>0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{2 k} & =1 \\
S^{2 k+1} & =S
\end{aligned}
$$

## Alternative solution: add hidden variables



$$
\mathcal{H}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \tau\right)=-\tau\left(w_{1} S_{1}+w_{2} S_{2}+\theta\right)+h_{1} S_{1}+h_{2} S_{2}
$$

There are even more ways to encode the same interaction if you consider biases...

## Alternative solution: add hidden variables



$$
\mathcal{H}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right)=-\log 2 \cosh \left[w_{1} S_{1}+w_{2} S_{2}+w_{3} S_{3}+w_{4} S_{4}\right]
$$

$$
=-J_{1234}^{(4)} S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4}-J_{12}^{(2)} S_{1} S_{2}-J_{13}^{(2)} S_{1} S_{3}-J_{14}^{(2)} S_{1} S_{4}-J_{23}^{(2)} S_{2} S_{3}-J_{24}^{(2)} S_{2} S_{4}-J_{34}^{(2)} S_{3} S_{4}+C
$$

In order to encode an interaction model with at most $k$-body interactions we need $\mathrm{O}\left(N_{k}\right)$ hidden nodes, with $N_{k}$ the number of non-zero $J^{(k)}$ couplings (\# parameters $\mathrm{O}\left(N_{k}\right) N$ ) << $\mathrm{O}\left(N^{k}\right)$

## The Restricted Boltzmann Machine

-Smolensky, P. (1986)

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\theta}(x, \tau)=-\sum_{i a} x_{i} w_{i a} \tau_{a}-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} x_{i}-\sum_{a} \zeta_{a} \tau_{a}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

Visible : data

Hidden : "Neurons" $\rightarrow$ features extracted

Universal approximator! Le Roux and Bengio. Neural computation (2008)

## The Restricted Boltzmann Machine

-Smolensky, P. (1986)

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\theta}(x, \tau)=-\sum_{i a} x_{i} w_{i a} \tau_{a}-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} x_{i}-\sum_{a} \zeta_{a} \tau_{a}
$$



| $\begin{array}{llll}5 & 7 & 6 & = \\ 1 & 9 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 & 5 & 3\end{array}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Universal approximator!

Le Roux and Bengio. Neural computation (2008)

## The Restricted Boltzmann Machine

-Smolensky, P. (1986)

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\theta}(x, \tau)=-\sum_{i a} x_{i} w_{i a} \tau_{a}-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} x_{i}-\sum_{a} \zeta_{a} \tau_{a}
$$

B3
Samples generated with the RBM


| $\Leftrightarrow 06534661291$ $576 \pm 176 \pm 7507$ |
| :---: |
| $19 \dot{\text { c }}$ 14 623818 |
| $1430830462 / 4$ |
| 615.390785355 |
| 47954099331 |
| 59272697676 |

The RBM is much more expressive than
the BM, but can we
make it just as interpretable?

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\theta}(\sigma, \tau)=-\sum_{i a} \sigma_{i} w_{i a} \tau_{a}-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} \sigma_{i}-\sum_{a} \theta_{a} \tau_{a} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{j}, \tau_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\} \\
& \text { Both Ising variables }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{R B M}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=-\log \sum_{\tau} e^{-\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})}=-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} \sigma_{i}-\sum_{a} \log \cosh \left(\theta_{a}+\sum_{i} W_{i a} \sigma_{i}\right)+C
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\theta}(\sigma, \tau)=-\sum_{i a} \sigma_{i} w_{i a} \tau_{a}-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} \sigma_{i}-\sum_{a} \theta_{a} \tau_{a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{R B M}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) & =-\log \sum_{\tau} e^{-\mathcal{E}_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \tau)}=-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} \sigma_{i}-\sum_{a} \log \cosh \left(\theta_{a}+\sum_{i} W_{i a} \sigma_{i}\right)+C \\
& =-\sum_{j} H_{j} \sigma_{j}-\sum_{j_{1}>j_{2}} J_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{(2)} \sigma_{j_{1}} \sigma_{j_{2}}-\sum_{j_{1}>j_{2}>j_{3}} J_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}}^{(3)} \sigma_{j_{1}} \sigma_{j_{2}} \sigma_{j_{3}}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The RBM as a model for interacting spins

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\theta}(\sigma, \tau)=-\sum_{i a} \sigma_{i} w_{i a} \tau_{a}-\sum_{i} \eta_{i} \sigma_{i}-\sum_{a} \theta_{a} \tau_{a}
$$

## Sci|Post

Inferring effective couplings with restricted Boltzmann machines
Aurćlien Decelle ${ }^{1,2}$, Cyril Furtlehner ${ }^{2}$,
Alfonso De Jesús Navas Gómez ${ }^{1 *}$ and Beatriz Seoane ${ }^{2}$


## The RBM as a model for interacting spins

## From the RBM to a generalized Ising model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) & =-\sum_{j} \eta_{j} \sigma_{i}-\sum_{a} \log \cosh \left(\sum_{j} w_{j a} \sigma_{j}+\zeta_{a}\right) . \\
& =-\sum_{j} \eta_{j} \sigma_{j}-\sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} \prod_{j} \delta_{\sigma_{j} \sigma_{j}} \sum_{a}^{\ln \operatorname{losh}}\left(\sum_{j} w_{j a} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}+\zeta_{a}\right) . \\
& =-\sum_{j} \eta_{j} \sigma_{j}-\frac{1}{2^{N_{v}}} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} \prod_{j}\left(1+\sigma_{j \sigma_{j}^{\prime}}\right) \sum_{a}^{\ln \cosh }\left(\sum_{j} w_{j a} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}+\zeta_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## From the RBM to a generalized Ising model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) & =-\sum_{j} \eta_{j} \sigma_{i}-\sum_{a} \log \cosh \left(\sum_{j} w_{j a} \sigma_{j}+\zeta_{a}\right) . \\
& =-\sum_{j} \eta_{j} \sigma_{j}-\sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} \prod_{j} \delta_{\sigma_{j} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}} \sum_{a} \ln \cosh \left(\sum_{j} w_{j a} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}+\zeta_{a}\right) . \\
& =-\sum_{j} \eta_{j} \sigma_{j}-\frac{1}{2^{N_{\mathrm{v}}}} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} \prod_{j}\left(1+\sigma_{j} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{a} \ln \cosh \left(\sum_{j} w_{j a} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}+\zeta_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(1+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\sigma_{2} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cdots\left(1+\sigma_{N_{v}} \sigma_{N_{v}}^{\prime}\right)=1+\sum_{j} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{2}^{\prime} \sigma_{3}^{\prime}+\cdots
$$

$$
=-\sum_{j} H_{j} \sigma_{j}-\sum_{j_{1}>j_{2}} J_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{(2)} \sigma_{j_{1}} \sigma_{j_{2}}-\sum_{j_{1}>j_{2}>j_{3}} J_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}}^{(3)} \sigma_{j_{1}} \sigma_{j_{2}} \sigma_{j_{3}}-\cdots{ }_{30 / 76}
$$

## From the RBM to a qeneralized Isina model

Given an RBM, we know which effective Ising Model it corresponds to

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{j}=\eta_{j}+\frac{1}{2^{N_{\mathrm{v}}}} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} \sum_{i} \sigma_{j}^{\prime} \ln \cosh \left(\sum_{k} w_{i k} \sigma_{k}^{\prime}+\zeta_{i}\right) \\
J_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{2^{N_{\mathrm{v}}}} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} \sum_{i} \sigma_{j_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots \sigma_{j_{n}}^{\prime} \ln \cosh \left(\sum_{k} w_{i k} \sigma_{k}^{\prime}+\zeta_{i}\right) \\
=-\sum_{j} \eta_{j} \sigma_{j}-\frac{1}{2^{N_{\mathrm{v}}}} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} \prod_{j}\left(1+\sigma_{j} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{a} \ln \cosh \left(\sum_{j} w_{j a} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}+\zeta_{a}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left(1+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\sigma_{2} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cdots\left(1+\sigma_{N_{v}} \sigma_{N_{v}}^{\prime}\right)=1+\sum_{j} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{j}^{\prime}+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{2}^{\prime} \sigma_{3}^{\prime}+\cdots
$$

$$
=-\sum_{j} H_{j} \sigma_{j}-\sum_{j_{1}>j_{2}} J_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{(2)} \sigma_{j_{1}} \sigma_{j_{2}}-\sum_{j_{1}>j_{2}>j_{3}} J_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}}^{(3)} \sigma_{j_{1}} \sigma_{j_{2}} \sigma_{j_{3}}-\cdots{ }_{31 / 76}
$$

## From the RBM to a generalized Ising model

Introduce the random variable

$$
X_{a}^{\left(j_{1} \ldots j_{n}\right)} \equiv \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{N_{\mathrm{v}}} w_{j_{\mu} a} \sigma_{j_{\mu}}^{\prime}
$$

$$
N_{v} \text { large }
$$

## Central limit theorem

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{j}=\eta_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \mathbb{E}_{X_{a}^{(j)}}\left[\ln \frac{\cosh \left(\zeta_{a}+w_{j a}+X_{a}^{(j)}\right)}{\cosh \left(\zeta_{a}-w_{j a}+X_{a}^{(j)}\right)}\right] \\
J_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a} \mathbb{E}_{X_{a}^{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)}}\left[\ln \frac{\cosh \left(\zeta_{a}+w_{j_{1} a}+w_{j_{2} a}+X_{i}^{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)}\right) \times \cosh \left(\zeta_{a}-\left(w_{j_{1} a}+w_{j_{2} a}\right)+X_{a}^{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)}\right)}{\cosh \left(\zeta_{a}+\left(w_{j_{1} a}-w_{j_{2} a}\right)+X_{a}^{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)}\right) \times \cosh \left(\zeta_{a}-\left(w_{a j_{1}}-w_{a j_{2}}\right)+X_{a}^{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)}\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Numerical controlled experiments

$$
n_{m}
$$



$$
\beta=\frac{1}{T}
$$

Generate equilibrium samples With a known model

1
Generate a dataset of generalized Ising model (GIM) equilibrium samples
$H_{j}^{*}, J_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}^{*,(n)}$
Pipeline of the numerical test


Decelle, Furtlehner, Navas Gómez, Seoane, SciPost 2024

W. b. h

Infer the effective couplings out of the trained RBM models
$H_{j}(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{h}), J_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{h})$


Disordered 2D Ising Model


| -0.3 | $-\beta=-0.2$ | -0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | $\beta=0.2$ | 0.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 1D Ising model $\beta=0.2$

| $M$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square 10^{3} \quad \square 10^{4} \quad \square 10^{5} \quad \square$ |  |  |  |  |



## 1D Ising + 3-body interactions


(b)

(d)



## Previous attempts

G. Cossu, L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, A. Khamseh and M. Wilson, Phys. Rev. B (2019)




## Previous attempts

N. Bulso and Y. Roudi, Neural Computation (2021)

Equivalence between the RBM and a lattice gas model $v_{i}=\{0,1\}$


(c1)
3-Body Couplings


(c2)


## Beyond Ising spins

One can generalize to Potts variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\sum_{i} \kappa_{i}^{(0)}-\sum_{j} \sum_{a}\left(b_{j}^{a}+\sum_{i} \kappa_{i}^{(1)} W_{i j}^{a}\right) \delta_{a v_{j}}-\sum_{k>1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}} \sum_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}}\left(\sum_{i} \kappa_{i}^{(k)} W_{i j_{1}}^{a_{1}} \cdots W_{i j_{k}}^{a_{k}}\right) \delta_{a_{1} v_{j_{1}}} \cdots \delta_{a_{k} v_{j_{k}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## From Ising to Potts

We can use it to infer


$$
J_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}^{q_{1}, \cdots q_{n}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{RBM}}(v) & =-\sum_{j} \sum_{a} b_{j}^{a} \delta_{a v_{j}}-\sum_{i} \ln \sum_{h_{i}} \exp \left(c_{i} h_{i}+h_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{a} W_{i j}^{a} \delta_{a v_{j}}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i} \kappa_{i}^{(0)}-\sum_{j} \sum_{a}\left(b_{j}^{a}+\sum_{i} \kappa_{i}^{(1)} W_{i j}^{a}\right) \delta_{a v_{j}}-\sum_{k>1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}} \sum_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}}\left(\sum_{i} \kappa_{i}^{(k)} W_{i j_{1}}^{a_{1}} \cdots W_{i j_{k}}^{a_{k}}\right) \delta_{a_{1} v_{j_{1}}} \cdots \delta_{a_{k} v_{j_{k}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main difficulty: gauge symmetry

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathcal{H}_{R B M}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{h})=-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{v}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} h_{i} W_{i j}^{a} \delta_{a v_{j}}-\sum_{j=1}^{N_{v}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} b_{j}^{a} \delta_{a v_{j}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} c_{i} h_{i} . \\
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { Invariant } \\
\text { under the } \\
\text { transformation }
\end{array} & W_{i j}^{a} \rightarrow W_{i j}^{a}+A_{i j} \rightarrow b_{j}^{a}+B_{j} \\
& c_{i} \rightarrow c_{i}-\sum_{j} A_{i j}
\end{array}
$$

The gauge transformation changes all orders of interaction!
And the zero sum gauge in the RBM is not equivalent to the zero sum gauge in the effective Potts model
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## Analyzing the free energy landscape

## Motivation



Number of entries in UniProtKB/TrEMBL



CCTAREMRATTTTGAREITTAGRATTGTTATTTCTTARAGCCTACACT: GGEITTATERERACTTTARATCTTAACARTARAGRATTTCGGATGTGA
 IPRTTATAGTCRENTATRARGTGCTTRTGATATRARATTTATRGGGGT aGCAGTCABTACTATGGAATGGAABTCATAACTITTGCCTGGTGCAC mCGTCAGTIATGATACCTITACCTITAGTATIGRAACGGACCACGTG TAMETGCETTTAMATAGAMCATCTGTTCGGTCCACACTCGGCTARAT ATTMCACGCAATMTATCTIGTAGACRAGCCAGGTGTGAGCCGATTTA CGGATCGGRGTCGGRATGRGTATCGITGGRCTGGGRACTGGGRARAG GCCTRAGCCICAGCCITACTCATAGCRACCTGACCCTTGACCCTTTAC
GGITTGCAGCAGGGGGCRRARGGGGTARTGGTACACATAGCTCACTC
CCIRACGICGICCCCICGTITTCCCCATTACCATGIGTATCGAGTGAG
... GTGCATCTGACTCCTGAGGAGAAG ...
... CACGTAGACTGAGGACTCCTCTTC ...
DNA
(transcriptio
... GUGCAUCUGACUCCUGAGGAGAAG ... RNA (translation protein

## Motivation

Number of entries in UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot


Number of entries in UniProtKB/TrEMBL






 ICGTCRGTTRIGAMRCCTTTACCTTTAGTRTTGAR2ACGGRCCRCGTG


CGGEITCGGRGICGGRETGGGTATCGITGGACTGGGRACTGGGRARIG
GCCTARGCCICRGCCITACTCATRGCRACCTGRCCCTTGACCCTTTAC
GGETTGCAGCAGGGGRGCARARGGGGTARTGGTACACAT AGCTCACTC
CCI ARCGTCGTCCCCTCGTTTTCCCCATTRCCATGTGTRTCGAGTGAG(
... GTGCATCTGACTCCTGAGGAGAAG•••
... CACGTAGACTGAGGACTCCTCTTC...
DNA
(transcriptio
$\cdots$ GUGCAUCUGACUCCUGAGGAGAAG ... RNA

$\cdots-\vee \quad$ L T P E E K ...
(translation
protein

## We need tools to automatically tag data

## MNIST



Pfam FAD binding domain of DNA photolyase


Human Genome dataset $\rightarrow$ mutations genome A global reference for human genetic variation, Nature 526(7571),68 (2015),


PopulationPeruvian in Lima, PeruMexican Ancestry in Los Angeles, California, USAColombian in Medellin, ColombiaPuerto Rican in Puerto RicoAfrican Ancestry in Southwest USA

## We need tools to automatically tag data

 MNIST

- Many labels $\rightarrow$ supervised learning
- None or so few labels $\rightarrow$ unsupervised or (semi supervised) learning

- None or so few labels $\rightarrow$ unsupervised or (semi supervised) learning

Detect families and subfamilies in the data $\rightarrow$ hierarchical clustering

- Curse of dimensionality

- None or so few labels $\rightarrow$ unsupervised or (semi supervised) learning

Detect families and subfamilies in the data $\rightarrow$ hierarchical clustering

- Curse of dimensionality


## Step 0 : Principal Component Analysis

Human Genome dataset $\rightarrow$ mutations genome
A global reference for human genetic variation, Nature 526(7571),68 (2015),

Population
origin
$?$

Mutation sites
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$
Human individuals

$$
\sum=\operatorname{Cov}\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]
$$



Eigenvectors : $\boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha}$
Directions of maximal variation

## Step 0 : Principal Component Analysis

Human Genome dataset $\rightarrow$ mutations genome A global reference for human genetic variation, Nature 526(7571),68 (2015),

Population origin

$$
m_{\alpha}^{(i)}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}^{(i)} \quad \text { PCA Human Genome }
$$





European

- South Asian
- East Asian

American
African
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## Step 0 : Principal Component Analysis

Human Genome dataset $\rightarrow$ mutations A global reference for human genetic variation, Nature 5\%

$$
m_{\alpha}^{(i)}=U_{\alpha} \cdot \underset{ }{(i)}
$$



East Asian


## Step 0 : Principal Component Analysis

Human Genome dataset $\rightarrow$ mutations
East Asian
A global reference for human genetic variation, Nature 5\%

$$
m_{\alpha}^{(i)}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}^{(i)}
$$



## Step 0 : Principal Component Analysis

\section*{| 3 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 4 |}



## Step 0 : Principal Component Analysis

\section*{| 3 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 4 |}

We need :

- Better decomposition (features) of the dataset
- Finer probe of the probability distribution function



## Step 0 : Principal Component Analysis

We have a model for the probability

$$
p_{\mathcal{D}}(x) \sim p_{\theta}(x)=\frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(x)}}{Z_{\theta}}
$$

Can we probe the maxima?

## Compone



## Free energy landscape

$$
p(\boldsymbol{S})=\frac{e^{-E_{R B M}(\boldsymbol{S})}}{Z}
$$


$q^{N}$ Number of states but so few contribute

$$
Z=\sum_{\{\boldsymbol{S}\}} e^{-E_{R B M}(\boldsymbol{S})}=\sum_{U} g(U) e^{-U}=\sum_{U} e^{S(U)-U}=\sum_{U} e^{-F(U)}=\sum_{U} e^{-N f(U)}
$$

$$
F=U-T S \quad \text { "Free energy" }
$$

The states with lower $f(U)$ are those that dominate the measure

## Free energy landscape

- We want to use this landscape to get a notion also to identify groups of similar sequences
- We want to obtain $f(\boldsymbol{M})$ as a function of the probability of having variables $\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}$ activated

$$
M=\left\{\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{q}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{m}_{a}\right\}\right\}
$$

- $\log Z=\log \sum_{\boldsymbol{M}} e^{-N f(\boldsymbol{M})} \Rightarrow$ Find the $\boldsymbol{M}$ s with lower $f(\boldsymbol{M})$



## Approximate the free energy

- We use the Plefka expansion to approximate $f(M)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \quad f_{\beta}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{M})=f_{0}(\boldsymbol{M})+\left.\beta \frac{\partial f_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{M})}{\partial \beta}\right|_{\beta=0}+\left.\frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{M})}{\partial \beta^{2}}\right|_{\beta=0} \\
& =\sum_{i q} f_{i}^{q} a_{i}^{q}+\sum_{\mu}^{m_{\mu} b_{\mu}}-\sum_{i q} f_{i}^{q} \log f_{i}^{f_{i}}-\sum_{\mu} m_{\mu} \log m_{\mu}+\left(1-m_{\mu}\right) \log \left(1-m_{\mu}\right)+\beta \sum_{i \mu \mu} f_{i}^{q} u_{\mu \mu}^{q} m_{\mu}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \sum_{\mu}\left(m_{\mu}-m_{\mu}^{2}\right) \sum_{i q}\left(w_{\psi \mu}^{q}\right) f_{i}^{q}-\sum_{i} \sum_{q} w_{\psi \mu}^{q} f_{i}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Approximate the free energy

- We use the Plefka expansion to approximate $f(M)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad f_{\beta}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{M})=f_{0}(\boldsymbol{M})+\left.\beta \frac{\partial f_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{M})}{\partial \beta}\right|_{\beta=0}+\left.\frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{M})}{\partial \beta^{2}}\right|_{\beta=0} \\
& =\sum_{i q} f_{i}^{q} a_{i}^{q}+\sum_{\mu}^{m_{\mu} b_{\mu}-\sum_{i q} f_{i}^{q} \log f_{i}^{q}-\sum_{\mu} m_{\mu} \log m_{\mu}+\left(1-m_{\mu}\right) \log \left(1-m_{\mu}\right)+\beta \sum_{i \psi \mu} f_{i} f_{i \mu}^{q} m_{\mu}^{q} m_{\mu}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \sum_{\mu}\left(m_{\mu}-m_{\mu}^{2}\right) \sum_{i q}\left(w_{\mu \mu}^{q}\right) f_{i}^{q}-\sum_{i} \sum_{q} w_{i \mu}^{q} f_{i}^{2} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Minima $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\boldsymbol{M})=\mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow$ set of self-consistent equations (TAP eqs.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\mu}[t+1] \leftarrow \operatorname{sigmoid}\left[b_{\mu}+\sum_{i q} f_{i}^{q}[t] w_{i \mu}^{q}+\left(m_{\mu}[t]-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{q} f_{i}^{q}[t] w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i q}\left(w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2} f_{i}^{q}[t]\right)\right] \\
& f_{i}^{q}[t+1] \leftarrow \operatorname{softmax}_{q}\left[a_{i}^{q}+\sum m_{\mu}[t+1] w_{i \mu}^{q}+\sum\left(m_{\mu}[t+1]-m_{\mu}^{2}[t+1]\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2}-w_{i \mu}^{q} \sum_{p} f_{i}^{p}[t] w_{i \mu}^{p}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Approximate the free energy




1 principal component

- Minima $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\boldsymbol{M})=\mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow$ set of self-consistent equations (TAP eqs.)
$m_{\mu}[t+1] \leftarrow \operatorname{sigmoid}\left[b_{\mu}+\sum_{i q} f_{i}^{q}[t] w_{i \mu}^{q}+\left(m_{\mu}[t]-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{q} f_{i}^{q}[t] w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i q}\left(w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2} f_{i}^{q}[t]\right)\right]$
$f_{i}^{q}[t+1] \leftarrow \operatorname{softmax}_{q}\left[a_{i}^{q}+\sum m_{\mu}[t+1] w_{i \mu}^{q}+\sum\left(m_{\mu}[t+1]-m_{\mu}^{2}[t+1]\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2}-w_{i \mu}^{q} \sum_{p} f_{i}^{p}[t] w_{i \mu}^{p}\right)\right]$


Basin of attraction: class Fixed point: "representative" features


- Minima $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\boldsymbol{M})=\mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow$ set of self-consistent equations (TAP eqs.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\mu}[t+1] \leftarrow \operatorname{sigmoid}\left[b_{\mu}+\sum_{i q} f_{i}^{q}[t] w_{i \mu}^{q}+\left(m_{\mu}[t]-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{q} f_{i}^{q}[t] w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i q}\left(w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2} f_{i}^{q}[t]\right)\right] \\
& f_{i}^{q}[t+1] \leftarrow \operatorname{softmax}_{q}\left[a_{i}^{q}+\sum m_{\mu}[t+1] w_{i \mu}^{q}+\sum\left(m_{\mu}[t+1]-m_{\mu}^{2}[t+1]\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{i \mu}^{q}\right)^{2}-w_{i \mu}^{q} \sum_{p} f_{i}^{p}[t] w_{i \mu}^{p}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data has a hierarchical organization



In order to be expressive enough, the RBM must describe all possible levels of similarity

The closest fixed point might be too detailed to be useful for a general classification


## Data has a hierarchical organization



In order to be expressive enough, the RBM must describe all possible levels of similarity

The closest fixed point might be too detailed to be useful for a general classification


How do we detect larger basins?

## The RBM learns in an hierarchical way



## The RBM learns in an hierarchical way



## Hierarchical



Clustering


## Hierarchical <br> Clustering


A)

B)
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## Example: synthetic evolutionary data

A)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$

$$
\mathbf{M} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

B)

C)


## Example: synthetic evolutionary data

A)
$\mathbf{M} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccccccccc}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right.$
B)

N

C)



## Synthetic data



Real tree


1 principal component

## Synthetic data



## Hierarchical Clustering

MNIST data

| 3 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 1 |

Digit



## Hierarchical <br> Clustering




## Protein function classification

ProfileView classification
$\square$ CRY Pro
$\square$ NCRY
$\square$ Class III CPD photolyase
$\square$ Class II CPD photolyase
$\square$ Plant-like photoreceptor CRY
$\square$ Animal photoreceptor CRY
$\square$ CRY DASH
$\square$ (6-4) photolyase
$\square$ Trans. regulators
$\square$ N/A
$\square$ Plant photoreceptor CRY
$\square$ Class I CPD photolyase

Experimental classification


## Hierarchical

## Clustering

## Conclusions

- RBMs are both expressive and simple
- The are as interpretable as the Boltzmann Machines
- They can be used to infer multi-body interactions without blowing the number of parameters
- We have mappings between the:
- Bernouilli-Bernoulli RBM $\rightarrow$ Generalized Ising model
- Bernouilli-Potts RBM $\rightarrow$ Generalized Potts model (still testing)
- We can use the RBM for hierarchical clustering

