Introduction Fritiof String interactions.





# ANGANTYR

### Leif Lönnblad

Department of Astronomy and Theoretical Physics Lund University

Lund 2019-02-25

Angantyr

Leif Lönnblad

Introduction Fritiof String interactions







### Leif Lönnblad

Department of Astronomy and Theoretical Physics Lund University

Lund 2019-02-25

### What if ...

### ... there is no QGP?

- ... it's just a bunch of overlayed NN events?
- ▶ ... we can just use PYTHIA8?
- ... we can build up collective effect from the bottom up?



### What if ...

- ... there is no QGP?
- ... it's just a bunch of overlayed NN events?
- ....we can just use PYTHIA8?
- ... we can build up collective effect from the bottom up?



# What if ...

- ... there is no QGP?
- ...it's just a bunch of overlayed NN events?
  - ....we can just use PYTHIA8?
  - ... we can build up collective effect from the bottom up?



# What if ...

- ... there is no QGP?
- ... it's just a bunch of overlayed NN events?
- ....we can just use PYTHIA8?
- ... we can build up collective effect from the bottom up?

Leif Lönnblad



# Outline

- Fritiof
- String interactions
- Multiple interactions in pA & AA
- New Glauber models
- Angantyr vs. LHC
- Summary



A simple model by Białas and Czyż, implemented in Fritiof

Each wounded nucleon contributes with hadrons according to a function  $F(\eta)$ . Fitted to data, and approximately looks like



A simple model by Białas and Czyż, implemented in Fritiof

Each wounded nucleon contributes with hadrons according to a function  $F(\eta)$ . Fitted to data, and approximately looks like



Angantyr

Leif Lönnblad

4

A simple model by Białas and Czyż, implemented in Fritiof

Each wounded nucleon contributes with hadrons according to a function  $F(\eta)$ . Fitted to data, and approximately looks like



Angantyr

Leif Lönnblad

4

A simple model by Białas and Czyż, implemented in Fritiof

Each wounded nucleon contributes with hadrons according to a function  $F(\eta)$ . Fitted to data, and approximately looks like



[Nucl.Phys.B111(1976)461, J.Phys.G35(2008)044053, Nucl.Phys.B281(1987)289.]

Leif Lönnblad

4

In Fritiof this was modelled by stretching out a string from each wounded nucleon with an invariant mass distributed as  $dm_X/m_X$ .

Each string gives a flat rapidity distribution, so This gives  $F(\eta) \sim \eta - \eta_0$ .

Note that there are no collective effects here. But nevertheless Fritiof reproduced most data: No conclusive evidence for QGP until the late nineties.



Fritiof String interactions

# What's missing in Fritiof?





- Multiple interactions
- Initial state fluctuations
- Interactions between strings



Fritiof String interactions

# What's missing in Fritiof?

### ► QGP?

#### Jets

- Multiple interactions
- Initial state fluctuations
- Interactions between strings



# **Interacting Strings**

#### The Lund Model



- The tunnelling mechanism:  $\mathcal{P} \propto e^{-\frac{\pi m_{q\perp}^2}{\kappa}} \equiv e^{-\frac{\pi m_q^2}{\kappa}} e^{-\frac{\pi p_{\perp}^2}{\kappa}}$
- The fragmentation function:  $p(z) = N \frac{(1-z)^a}{z} e^{-bm_{\perp}^2/z}$
- Many parameters depends (implicitly) on  $\kappa$ .

# **Interacting Strings**

#### The Lund Model





# **Interacting Strings**

#### The Lund Model



- The tunnelling mechanism:  $\mathcal{P} \propto e^{-\frac{\pi m_{q\perp}^2}{\kappa}} \equiv e^{-\frac{\pi m_q^2}{\kappa}} e^{-\frac{\pi p_{\perp}^2}{\kappa}}$
- The fragmentation function:  $p(z) = N \frac{(1-z)^a}{z} e^{-bm_{\perp}^2/z}$

Many parameters depends (implicitly) on κ.

# **Interacting Strings**

#### The Lund Model



- The tunnelling mechanism:  $\mathcal{P} \propto e^{-\frac{\pi m_{q\perp}^2}{\kappa}} \equiv e^{-\frac{\pi m_q^2}{\kappa}} e^{-\frac{\pi p_{\perp}^2}{\kappa}}$
- The fragmentation function:  $p(z) = N \frac{(1-z)^a}{z} e^{-bm_{\perp}^2/z}$
- Many parameters depends (implicitly) on  $\kappa$ .

### **Overlapping strings**

How do we treat strings that overlap in space-time?





Angantyr

Take the simplest case of two simple, un-correlated, completely overlapping strings, with opposite colour flow.



- 1/9: A colour-singlet (no string)
- 8/9: A colour-octet

The string tension affects all details in the Lund string fragmentation.

It is proportional to the Casimir operator  $C_2^{(8)} = rac{9}{4}C_2^{(3)}$ 



Take the simplest case of two simple, un-correlated, completely overlapping strings, with opposite colour flow.



- 1/9: A colour-singlet (no string)
- 8/9: A colour-octet

The string tension affects all details in the Lund string fragmentation.

It is proportional to the Casimir operator  $C_2^{(8)} = \frac{9}{4}C_2^{(3)}$ .



Take the simplest case of two simple, un-correlated, completely overlapping strings, with opposite colour flow.



- 1/9: A colour-singlet (no string)
- 8/9: A colour-octet

The string tension affects all details in the Lund string fragmentation.

It is proportional to the Casimir operator  $C_2^{(8)} = \frac{9}{4}C_2^{(3)}$ .



And for parallel colour flows:.



- 1/3: An anti-triplet
- > 2/3: A sextet

$$C_2^{(6)} = rac{5}{2}C_2^{(3)}$$

The anti-triplet case is related to string junctions and baryon production (popcorn mechanism).

And for parallel colour flows:.



- 1/3: An anti-triplet
- > 2/3: A sextet

$$C_2^{(6)} = \frac{5}{2}C_2^{(3)}$$

The anti-triplet case is related to string junctions and baryon production (popcorn mechanism).

### A random walk in colour-space





[Nucl.Phys.B254(1984)449]

Angantyr

1 Leif

Leif Lönnblad

- Partially overlapping string pieces in impact parameter and rapidity.
- Reconnect to get colour singlets.
- Random walk for the rest to get higher colour multiplets (ropes).
- The rope will break one string at the time.
- Calculate an effective string tension of a break-up, e.g.
  - ► the first string to break in a sextet has an effective  $\kappa_{\rm eff} \propto C_2^6 C_2^3 = \frac{3}{2}C_2^3$

The second breakup has standard  $\kappa \propto C_2^3$ 



- Partially overlapping string pieces in impact parameter and rapidity.
- Reconnect to get colour singlets.
- Random walk for the rest to get higher colour multiplets (ropes).
- The rope will break one string at the time.
- Calculate an effective string tension of a break-up, e.g.
  - ► the first string to break in a sextet has an effective  $\kappa_{\rm eff} \propto C_2^6 C_2^3 = \frac{3}{2}C_2^3$

The second breakup has standard  $\kappa \propto C_2^3$ 



- Partially overlapping string pieces in impact parameter and rapidity.
- Reconnect to get colour singlets.
- Random walk for the rest to get higher colour multiplets (ropes).
- The rope will break one string at the time.
- Calculate an effective string tension of a break-up, e.g.
  - ► the first string to break in a sextet has an effective  $\kappa_{\rm eff} \propto C_2^6 C_2^3 = \frac{3}{2}C_2^3$

The second breakup has standard  $\kappa \propto C_2^3$ 



- Partially overlapping string pieces in impact parameter and rapidity.
- Reconnect to get colour singlets.
- Random walk for the rest to get higher colour multiplets (ropes).
- The rope will break one string at the time.
- Calculate an effective string tension of a break-up, e.g.
  - ▶ the first string to break in a sextet has an effective  $\kappa_{\text{eff}} \propto C_2^6 C_2^3 = \frac{3}{2}C_2^3$

The second breakup has standard  $\kappa \propto C_2^3$ 



- Partially overlapping string pieces in impact parameter and rapidity.
- Reconnect to get colour singlets.
- Random walk for the rest to get higher colour multiplets (ropes).
- The rope will break one string at the time.
- Calculate an effective string tension of a break-up, e.g.
  - ▶ the first string to break in a sextet has an effective  $\kappa_{\text{eff}} \propto C_2^6 C_2^3 = \frac{3}{2}C_2^3$

• The second breakup has standard  $\kappa \propto C_2^3$ 



- Partially overlapping string pieces in impact parameter and rapidity.
- Reconnect to get colour singlets.
- Random walk for the rest to get higher colour multiplets (ropes).
- The rope will break one string at the time.
- Calculate an effective string tension of a break-up, e.g.
  - the first string to break in a sextet has an effective  $\kappa_{\rm eff} \propto C_2^6 C_2^3 = \frac{3}{2}C_2^3$
  - The second breakup has standard  $\kappa \propto C_2^3$
- Rescale the PYTHIA8 parameters accordingly.



String interactions

Ratio of yields to  $(\pi^-+\pi^+)$ 1110 ф  $2K_S^0$ 10-1 Ф Ð Φ¢  $\Lambda + \overline{\Lambda} (\times 2)$ 4 # # # # # # # # # # #  $\Xi^-+\overline{\Xi}^+$  (×6) Ф ∕₽∮∮₩ 10<sup>-2</sup>  $\Omega^{-}+\overline{\Omega}^{+}(\times 16)$ ALICE pp,  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ p-Pb,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ Pb-Pb,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$ PYTHIA8 ····· DIPSY ..... EPOS LHC 10<sup>-3</sup> استتت  $\frac{10^2}{\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle}_{|\eta|<0.5}$ 10



Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 535-539



Angantyr

3 L

Leif Lönnblad

Will overlapping strings in high multiplets generate a transverse pressure?





[JETP Lett.47(1988)337]

Angantyr

# **Rope Shoving**

- All strings are sliced into dy slices.
- In each (small) time-step dt, each string will get a kick from other strings:

$$\frac{dp_{\perp}}{dydt} = \frac{C_0 t d}{R^2} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2}{2R^2}\right)$$

- Momentum conservation is observed.
- Transverse kicks resolved pairwise.
- Longitudinal recoil absorbed by kicking dipole.



 $\texttt{``kick"} \to \texttt{``kink"} = gluon$ 

# **Rope Shoving**

- All strings are sliced into dy slices.
- In each (small) time-step dt, each string will get a kick from other strings:

$$\frac{dp_{\perp}}{dydt} = \frac{C_0 t d}{R^2} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2}{2R^2}\right)$$

- Momentum conservation is observed.
- Transverse kicks resolved pairwise.
- Longitudinal recoil absorbed by kicking dipole.

This could give rise to a Ridge!

```
\texttt{``kick"} \to \texttt{``kink"} = \texttt{gluon}
```









[arXiv:1009.4122, arXiv:1710.09725]

Leif Lönnblad
String interactions Multiple interactions in pA & AA The new Glauber model.

### Multiple interactions in pp



WING CONTRACTOR

String interactions<sup>\*</sup> Multiple interactions in pA & AA The new Glauber model

#### **MPI in** pA





String interactions<sup>\*</sup> Multiple interactions in pA & AA The new Glauber model

#### **MPI in** AA





## The Glauber formalism

- How do we model the geometrical distribution of nucleons in colliding nuclei?
- How do we determine which nucleon interacts with which nucleon?
- How do they interact?



# Distributing nucleons in a nuclei

There are advanced models for the shell-structure of nuclei — we will not be that advanced.

Assume a simple density of nucleons based on the (spherically symmetric) Woods–Saxon potential

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0(1 + wr^2/R^2)}{1 + \exp((r - R)/a)}$$

- R is the radius of the nucleus
- a is the skin width
- w can give a varying density but is typically = 0



For a nucleus (Z, A), we simply generate A nucleon positions randomly according to

$$P(\vec{r}_i) = \rho(r_i) d^3 \vec{r}_i$$

The Woods–Saxon parameters are tuned to measurements of (low enegry) charge distributions assuming some charge distribution of each nucleon (proton).

We normally assume iso-spin invariance (p $\approx$ n). There are absolutely no correlations between the nuclei.

What happens if two nucleons end up in the same place.



We can get some correlations if we assume that nucleons have a hard core,  $R_h$ , and require  $\Delta r_{ij} > 2R_h$ 

If you generate a nucleon which is too close to a previously generated nucleon you could either

- generate a new position for the last one (efficient, but may give a bias)
- throw away everything and start over (inefficient, unbiased)



There are many implementations of this, and most experiments have their own. Typical parameters for A > 16 are (from the GLISSANDO program):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R \ ({\rm fm}) & a & w & R_h \\ (1.120 A^{1/3} - 0.860 A^{-1/3}) & 0.540 & 0 & 0 \\ (1.100 A^{1/3} - 0.656 A^{-1/3}) & 0.459 & 0 & 0.45 \end{array}$$



[nucl-th/0710.5731, nucl-th/1310.5475]

We can estimate the AA cross section assuming the nuclei are like black disks,

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2ec{b} rac{d\sigma^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}(b)}{d^2ec{b}} = 4\pi R^2$$

where

$$\frac{d\sigma^{AA}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} = \begin{cases} 1 : b < 2R\\ 0 : b > 2R \end{cases}$$



Angantyr

We can also look at the positions of the individual nucleons:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{AA}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} = 1 - \prod_{i,j} \int d^2\vec{r}_i d^2\vec{r}_j \left(1 - \frac{d\sigma^{NN}(b_{ij})}{d^2\vec{b}}\right) \rho(\vec{r}_i)\rho(\vec{r}_j)$$

where  $b_{ij} = \left| \vec{b} + \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j \right|$ .

But we have to think about which cross section we are talking about. Total? Non-diffractive? Inelastic?



## Good & Walker (Pumplin & Miettinen)

Let's assume that a projectile with some kind of internal structure interacts with a structureless target. The projectile can have different mass-eigenstates,  $\Psi_i$ , and these can be different from the eigenstates of the (diffractive) interaction,  $\Phi_k$ .

$$\Psi_i = \sum_k c_{ik} \Phi_k$$
 with  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_{in}$ .

With an elastic amplitude  $T_k$  for each interaction eigenstate we get the elastic cross section for the incoming state

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm el}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} = |\langle \Psi_0|T|\Psi_0\rangle|^2 = \left(\sum_k |c_{0k}|^2 T_k\right)^2 = \langle T\rangle^2 \cdot \int_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{T}} |\Psi_0|T|\Psi_0\rangle|^2 = \left(\sum_k |c_{0k}|^2 T_k\right)^2 = \langle T\rangle^2 \cdot \int_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{T}} |\Psi_0|T|\Psi_0\rangle|^2$$

The new Glauber model

For a completely black target and projectile, we know from the optical theorem that the elastic cross section is the same as the absorptive cross section and

$$\sigma_{\rm el} = \sigma_{\rm abs} = \sigma_{\rm tot}/2$$

but with substructure and fluctuations we have also diffractive scattering with the amplitude

$$\langle \Psi_i | T | \Psi_0 
angle = \sum_k c_{ik} T_k c_{0k}^*$$

and

$$rac{d\sigma_{
m diff}(b)}{d^2ec{b}} = \sum_i \langle \Psi_0 | \, T | \Psi_i 
angle \langle \Psi_i | \, T | \Psi_0 
angle = \langle T^2 
angle.$$



#### The importance of fluctuations

We see now that diffractive excitation to higher mass eigenstates is given by the fluctuations

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm dex}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} = \frac{d\sigma_{\rm diff}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} - \frac{d\sigma_{\rm el}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} = \langle T^2(b) \rangle - \langle T(b) \rangle^2$$

When looking at *AA* interactions we may assume that the state of each nucleon is frozen during the interaction according to the eikonal approximation.

We also assume the elastic nucleon scattering amplitude is purely imaginary and  $T(b) \equiv -iA(b)$  giving  $0 \le T \le 1$  from unitarity.

We can now also write down the total and absorptive (aka. non-diffractive) cross section, and we can look at the situation where both the projectile and target nucleon has a sub-structure:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\rm tot}^{\rm NN}(b)}{d^2 \vec{b}} &=& 2\langle T(b) \rangle \\ \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\rm abs}^{\rm NN}(b)}{d^2 \vec{b}} &=& 2\langle T(b) \rangle - \langle T^2(b) \rangle \\ \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\rm el}^{\rm NN}(b)}{d^2 \vec{b}} &=& \langle T(b) \rangle^2 \\ \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\rm dex}^{\rm NN}(b)}{d^2 \vec{b}} &=& \langle T^2(b) \rangle - \langle T(b) \rangle^2 \end{array}$$



We can also divide the diffractive excitation depending on whether the target or projective nucleon is excited.

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{Dp}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^{2}\vec{b}} = \langle \langle T(b) \rangle_{t}^{2} \rangle_{p} - \langle \langle T(b) \rangle_{t} \rangle_{p}^{2} 
\frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{Dt}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^{2}\vec{b}} = \langle \langle T(b) \rangle_{t}^{2} \rangle_{p} - \langle \langle T(b) \rangle_{p} \rangle_{t}^{2} 
\frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{DD}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^{2}\vec{b}} = \langle \langle T(b)^{2} \rangle_{t} \rangle_{p} - \langle \langle T(b) \rangle_{p}^{2} \rangle_{t} - \langle \langle T(b) \rangle_{t}^{2} \rangle_{p} + \langle \langle T(b) \rangle_{t} \rangle_{p}^{2}$$



We note in particular that the probability of a target nucleon being wounded is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{Wt}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} &=& \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{abs}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} + \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{DD}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} + \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{Dt}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} \\ &=& \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} - \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{el}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} - \displaystyle \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{Dp}}^{\mathrm{NN}}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} \\ &=& \displaystyle 2\langle\langle T(b)\rangle_t - \langle T(b)\rangle_t^2\rangle_p \end{array}$$

and thus only depends on the fluctuations in the projectile, but only on average properties of the target itself.

Angantyr

Introducing the S-matrix, S(b) = 1 - T(b) we see that the individual absorbtive and wounded cross sections factorises for pA

$$\frac{d\sigma_{abs}^{pA}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} = 1 - \prod_j \left(1 - \frac{d\sigma_{abs}^{NN}(b_j)}{d^2\vec{b}}\right) = 1 - \prod_j \langle S^2(b_j) \rangle_{tp}$$
$$\frac{d\sigma_{Wt}^{pA}(b)}{d^2\vec{b}} = 1 - \prod_j \left(1 - \frac{d\sigma_{Wt}^{NN}(b_j)}{d^2\vec{b}}\right) = 1 - \prod_j \langle \langle S(b_j) \rangle_t^2 \rangle_p$$



## The standard (naive) Glauber implementation

Estimate the distribution in number of participants in a pA or AA collision.

- Distribute the nucleons randomly according to Woods–Saxon
- Monte-Carlo the *b*-distributions (typically in a square with side ~ 4*R*).
- Count the number of nucleons in the target that is within a distance  $d = \sqrt{\sigma/2\pi}$  from any of the projectile nucleons. (Gives you  $N_{\text{coll}}$  and  $N_{\text{part}}$ .)

Normally no fluctuations, but includes diffractively wounded nucleons by using  $\sigma = \sigma_{abs}^{NN} + \sigma_{dex}^{NN} = \sigma_{tot}^{NN} - \sigma_{el}^{NN}$ .

A more sofisticated Glauber implementation

Assume a fluctuating NN cross section

$$P(\sigma) = \rho \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \sigma_0} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\sigma/\sigma_0 - 1)^2}{\Omega^2}\right\}$$

with

$$T(b,\sigma)\propto \exp\left(-cb^2/\sigma
ight).$$

For pA this gives a longer tail out to a large number of wounded nucleons.

Lund University

[Strikman et al. hep-ph/1301.0728]

# Angantyr: Heavy lons in PYTHIA8

- Glauber model with advanced fluctuation treatment
- Divides NN interactions into absorptive, single or double diffractive.
- Also differentiates absorptive interactions:
  - Primary: is modelled as a PYTHIA non-diffractive pp event.
  - Secondary: an interaction with a nucleon that has already had an interaction with another. Modelled as a (modified) diffractive excitation event (with dm<sub>X</sub>/m<sub>X</sub> as in Fritiof).
- All sub-events generated on parton level and merged together into a consisten pA or AA event and then hadronised.

(No string interactions yet.)

# Angantyr: Heavy lons in PYTHIA8

- Glauber model with advanced fluctuation treatment
- Divides NN interactions into absorptive, single or double diffractive.
- Also differentiates absorptive interactions:
  - Primary: is modelled as a PYTHIA non-diffractive pp event.
  - Secondary: an interaction with a nucleon that has already had an interaction with another. Modelled as a (modified) diffractive excitation event (with dm<sub>X</sub>/m<sub>X</sub> as in Fritiof).
- All sub-events generated on parton level and merged together into a consisten pA or AA event and then hadronised.

(No string interactions yet.)

Multiple interactions in **pA & AA**^ The new Glauber model Angantyr

Angantyr: Heavy lons in PYTHIA8





Angantyr

Leif Lönnblad

#### projectile

target

 $\bigcirc$ 





Angantyr



Angantyr



Angantyr

Leif Lönnblad





Angantyr

Lund University

C .W

\* SIGI RVM







Angantyr







Angantyr













Angantyr







Angantyr







Angantyr



NUM CONTRACTOR

Multiple interactions in pA & AA The new Glauber model Angantyr



Not only min-bias. Rather than just generating non-diffractive events, The first absorptive sub-event can be generated using any hard process in PYTHIA8, giving the final event a weight  $N_{A}\sigma_{hard}/\sigma_{ND}$ .



## Comparison to data

Several parameters in addition to the pp PYTHIA8 ones.

- Nucleon distributions can in principle be measured independently.
- ► *NN* cross section fluctuations are fitted to (semi-) inclusive pp cross sections (total, non-diffractive, single and double diffractive, elastic, and elastic slope) for given  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ .
- Diffractive parameters for secondary absorptive collisions, "tuned" to non-diffractive PYTHIA.
- $M_X$  distribution:  $dM_X^2/M_X^{2(1+\epsilon)}$ , could be tuned (to pA), but we choose  $\epsilon = 0$ .
- Few other choices concerning energy momentum conservation which do not have large impact.

Multiple interactions in **pA & AA** The new Glauber model Angantyr

#### Eta distribution in pPb




Angantyr

## Centrality in pPb





Angantyr

Angantyr

# Centrality in pPb



What was actually measured in the previous slide is a correlation between the  $\eta$ -distribution and the forward activity.

Angantyr

1 Leif

Leif Lönnblad

Multiple interactions in **pA & AA**<sup>^</sup> The new Glauber model

Angantyr

## p-Pb number of participants

Number of wounded nucleons  $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ 35 Plain Glauber (ATLAS)  $-\Delta$  GGCF,  $\Omega = 0.11$  (ATLAS)  $\rightarrow$  GGCF,  $\Omega = 0.20$  (ATLAS) 30 25 Impact-parameter bins 20 15 10 5 0 1 10<sup>1</sup> Centrality (%)

A CONTRACTOR

Angantyr

Angantyr

## p–Pb $\eta$ -distribution



Angantyr

**Central multiplicity in PbPb** 











Angantyr

### Pb–Pb number of participants



Angantyr

#### Go generate yourself!

pythia.readString("Beams:idA = 1000822080"); pythia.readString("Beams:idB = 1000822080"); pythia.readString("Beams:eCM = 2760.0");



# Summary

- Angantyr makes a naive(?) extrapolation of PYTHIA8 from pp to pA and AA
- Includes fluctuations in Glauber simulation
- Includes jets and multiple interactions
- Does not include string interactions yet



# Summary

- Angantyr makes a naive(?) extrapolation of PYTHIA8 from pp to pA and AA
- Includes fluctuations in Glauber simulation
- Includes jets and multiple interactions
- Does not include string interactions yet





By tradition HI and HEP have been separate communities

- LHC brought them together
- There are collective effects in pp
- There are jets in AA
- We can (and need to) learn from each other



Vetenskapsrådet

#### **Thanks!**





European Research Council Established by the European Commission





Angantyr

Leif Lönnblad