Black holes, bosonic stars and ultralight dark matter

Carlos Herdeiro Gravitational Geometry and Dynamics Group, Aveiro University, Portugal

> Lund, COST Advanced School, Physics of Dark Matter and hidden sectors, October 20th 2021

PHYSICS OF DARK MATTER AND



particleface

From Theory to Experiment

Lund, October 18-21st 2021

Sergey Burdin - Dark Matter direct searches Carlos Herdeiro Black holes, bosonic stars and utiralight Dark Matter Antonio Morais - Models for ultra-light Dark Sectors Alexander Belyaev - Towards the Consistent Dark Matter exploration Kimmo Tuominen - Hidden Sector models and observational probes





The Dark Matter Scientific Assessment Group (DMSAG), Report on the Direct Detection and Study of Dark Matter, 2007; Conrad and Reimer, Nature Physics, 13 (2017) 224

Figure 15: Galactic rotation curve²⁹ for NGC 6503 showing disk and gas contribution plus the dark matter halo contribution needed to match the data.

The Dark Matter Scientific Assessment Group (DMSAG), Report on the Direct Detection and Study of Dark Matter, 2007

The Dark Matter Scientific Assessment Group (DMSAG), Report on the Direct Detection and Study of Dark Matter, 2007; Conrad and Reimer, Nature Physics, 13 (2017) 224

1 - Motivation

"Where shall we be looking for the unknown?"

The first, epoch-making, detection

GW150914

Abbot et al., PRL 116 (2016) 061102

Gravitational waves: a particular event from the O3 run

https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/public/O3/

GW190521 PRL125(2020)10, ApJLett.900(2020)L13

- Two most massive progenitors: $85^{+21}_{-14}M_{\odot}$, $66^{+17}_{-18}M_{\odot}$
- At least one in the pair instability supernova gap. Formation?
- Very short no inspiral
- Final BH can be considered of intermediate mass: $142^{+28}_{-16}M_{\odot}$

Seismic wall

But...

Sometimes, even if I stand in the middle of the room, no one acknowledges me.

Bosonic stars (a macro perspective):

- Appear in General Relativity (GR) with simple and physically reasonable mass sources: complex massive scalar fields or vector fields, possibly with self-interactions, but certainly with a mass term.

- They can have a compactness comparable to that of black holes, making them black hole mimickers that are dynamically robust.

- They started to be evolved alone or in binaries, producing waveforms. Sanchis-Gual, Herdeiro, Font, Radu and Di Giovanni, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 024017

Certainly an excellent toy model... but... something more?

Bosonic stars (a micro perspective):

- They are a Bose-Einstein condensate of many ultralight particles in the same quantum state, thus justifying the classical description.

- The need for ultralightness comes from the existence of a (model dependent) maximal mass for the bosonic stars:

$$M_{\rm ADM}^{\rm max} \simeq \alpha_{\rm BS} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{\mu} \simeq \alpha_{\rm BS} \, 10^{-19} M_{\odot} \left(\frac{{\rm GeV}}{\mu}\right)$$

- Thus, for bosonic stars with masses in the astrophysical black holes range the fundamental bosonic particle must be ultralight:

$$M_{ADM}^{\text{max}} \sim (1 - 10^{10}) \text{ M}_{\odot} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mu \sim (10^{-10} - 10^{-20}) \text{ eV}$$

- If such hypothetical particle(s) have feeble or no-interactions with standard model constituents, they are fuzzy dark matter, only detectable gravitationally.

But what is their HEP origin? Axiverse? Something else? (see A. Morais talk!)

Bosonic stars

In General Relativity, but beyond the SM

Massive-complex-scalar-vacuum:

Scalar Boson Stars

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{4} - \nabla_\alpha \Phi^* \nabla^\alpha \Phi - \mu^2 |\Phi|^2 \right)$$

New scale

New scale

Massive-complex-vector-vacuum:

Vector Boson Stars

or Proca Stars

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G} R - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha} \right) \,.$$

GW190521 as a Merger of Proca Stars: A Potential New Vector Boson of 8.7 × 10⁻¹³ eV

Juan Calderón Bustillo⁽⁵⁾, ^{1,2,3,4,*} Nicolas Sanchis-Gual⁽⁵⁾, ^{5,6,†} Alejandro Torres-Forné, ^{7,8,9} José A. Font⁽⁶⁾, ^{8,9} Avi Vajpeyi, ^{3,4} Rory Smith⁽⁶⁾, ^{3,4} Carlos Herdeiro⁽⁶⁾, ⁶ Eugen Radu, ⁶ and Samson H. W. Leong⁽⁶⁾
 ¹Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Galicia, Spain ²Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
 ³Monash Centre for Astrophysics, School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia ⁴OzGrav: The ARC Centre of Excellence for Gravitational-Wave Discovery, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia ⁵Centro de Astrofísica e Gravitação—CENTRA, Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico—IST, Universidade de Lisboa—UL, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001, Portugal
 ⁶Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro and Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA), Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal
 ⁷Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), Am Mühlenberg 1, Potsdam 14476, Germany
 ⁸Departamento de Astronomía y Astrofísica, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner 50, 46100, Burjassot (València), Spain

(Received 26 September 2020; revised 20 November 2020; accepted 14 January 2021; published 24 February 2021)

Advanced LIGO-Virgo have reported a short gravitational-wave signal (GW190521) interpreted as a quasicircular merger of black holes, one at least populating the pair-instability supernova gap, that formed a remnant black hole of $M_f \sim 142 \ M_{\odot}$ at a luminosity distance of $d_L \sim 5.3$ Gpc. With barely visible premerger emission, however, GW190521 merits further investigation of the pre-merger dynamics and even of the very nature of the colliding objects. We show that GW190521 is consistent with numerically simulated signals from head-on collisions of two (equal mass and spin) horizonless vector boson stars (aka Proca stars), forming a final black hole with $M_f = 231^{+13}_{-17} \ M_{\odot}$, located at a distance of $d_L = 571^{+348}_{-181}$ Mpc. This provides the first demonstration of close degeneracy between these two theoretical models, for a real gravitational-wave event. The favored mass for the ultralight vector boson constituent of the Proca stars is $\mu_V = 8.72^{+0.73}_{-0.82} \times 10^{-13}$ eV. Confirmation of the Proca star interpretation, which we find statistically slightly preferred, would provide the first evidence for a long sought dark matter particle.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.081101

EHT collaboration ApJ Lett. 875 (2019) L1

M87 supermassive black hole jet ~17° w.r.t line of sight (radio image - Very Large Array)

M87 Nucleus July 17, 2002 HST STIS/MAMA

Different mass ranges:

Ultralight bosons mass range: 10^{-10} 10^{-12} 10^{-14} 10^{-16} 10^{-18} 10^{-20} μ (eV)

Alternative black holes ("hairy")

In General Relativity, but beyond the SM

Massive-complex-scalar-vacuum:

Black holes with scalar hair

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{4} - \nabla_\alpha \Phi^* \nabla^\alpha \Phi - \mu^2 |\Phi|^2 \right)$$

New scale

New scale

Massive-complex-vector-vacuum:

Black holes with Proca hair

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G} R - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha} \right) \,.$$

2- Bosonic stars and LVK as particle detectors

Solitons in field theory

Solitons occur for non-linear field theories and the constancy of their "shape" is interpreted as a cancellation between non-linear and dispersive effects.

There is, however, a generic argument, known as *Derrick's theorem*, against the existence of stable, time-independent solutions of finite energy in a wide class of non-linear wave equations, in three or higher (spatial) dimensions G. H. Derrick, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 1252 (see also R.H. Hobart, Proc. Phys. Soc. 82 (1963)201).

One way to circumvent the theorem is to considered a complex field with a harmonic time dependence, which guarantees a time-independent energy momentum tensor G. Rosen, J. Math. Phys. 9 (1968) 996:

$$\Phi(t,\mathbf{r}) = e^{-iwt}\varphi(\mathbf{r})$$

Moreover there is a global symmetry and a conserved scalar charge (typically called Q). Then, for some classes of potentials (yielding non-linear models), localized stable solutions exist, which are now known, following Coleman, as *Q-balls* S. R. Coleman, "Q Balls," Nucl. Phys. B 262 (1985) 263 [Erratum-ibid. B 269 (1986) 744]

But in the presence of gravity, no scalar non-linear interactions are required. Effectively, such non-linearities are provided by the self-gravity of the field.

The model (mini-boson stars):

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{16\pi} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \left(\Phi^*_{,\,\alpha} \Phi_{,\,\beta} + \Phi^*_{,\,\beta} \Phi_{,\,\alpha} \right) - \mu^2 \Phi^* \Phi \right],$$

The field equations:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi \left\{ \Phi^*_{,\alpha} \Phi_{,\beta} + \Phi^*_{,\beta} \Phi_{,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma\delta} (\Phi^*_{,\gamma} \Phi_{,\delta} + \Phi^*_{,\delta} \Phi_{,\gamma}) + \mu^2 \Phi^* \Phi \right] \right\}$$
$$\Box \Phi = \mu^2 \Phi$$

The action is invariant under a U(1) global symmetry: $\Phi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \Phi$

This leads to a conserved current: $j^{\alpha} = -i(\Phi^* \partial^{\alpha} \Phi - \Phi \partial^{\alpha} \Phi^*)$

Integrating the temporal component of this 4-current on a timelike slice leads to a conserved charge - the *Noether charge* Q:

$$Q = \int_{\Sigma} j^t$$

The Noether charge counts the number of scalar particles. Notice that this is conserved in the sense of a local continuity equation; **there is no associated Gauss law**!

Spherically symmetric solutions ansatz (three unknown functions):

$$ds^{2} = -N(r)\sigma^{2}(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{N(r)} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) , \quad N(r) \equiv 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r} , \quad \Phi = \phi(r)e^{-iwt}$$

From a two real scalars viewpoint they are both time periodic but have opposite phases. The time dependence cancels at the level of the energy momentum tensor, being therefore compatible with a stationary metric.

The above ansatz makes the Einstein equations simpler as compared to other choices (such as isotropic coordinates). The two "essential" Einstein equations read:

$$m' = 4\pi r^2 \left(N\phi'^2 + \mu^2 \phi^2 + \frac{w^2 \phi^2}{N\sigma^2} \right) , \quad \sigma' = 8\pi \sigma r \left(\phi'^2 + \frac{w^2 \phi^2}{N^2 \sigma^2} \right)$$

(one further constraint equation is found, but which is a differential consequence of these). The Klein-Gordon equation gives (thus completing **three** equations):

$$\phi'' + \frac{2\phi'}{r} + \frac{N'\phi'}{N} + \frac{\sigma'\phi'}{\sigma} - \frac{\mu^2\phi}{N} + \frac{w^2\phi}{N^2\sigma^2} = 0$$

Lectures by Alexandre Pombo (pomboalexandremira@ua.pt) on obtaining spherical bosonic stars:

https://indico.cern.ch/event/951466/timetable/

https://indico.cern.ch/event/951466/contributions/4014172/attachments/2105845/3543310/ Boson Star Numerics.pdf

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dT6hHNs-2-w

ADM mass M (and Noether charge Q) vs. frequency w diagram:

- Solutions only exist for a range of frequencies:

 $\frac{w_{\min}}{\mu} < \frac{w}{\mu} < 1 \qquad \qquad w_{\min} \simeq 0.767\mu$

- There is a range of frequencies for which more than one solution exists. This defines the first, second, third, etc, **branches**.

- There is a maximum value for the ADM mass: $M_{ADM}^{max} \simeq \alpha_{BS} \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{\mu} \simeq \alpha_{BS} 10^{-19} M_{\odot} \left(\frac{\text{GeV}}{\mu}\right)$ $\alpha_{BS} = 0.633$

Spherically symmetric solutions: Stability

Studying linearized radial perturbations of the coupled metric-scalar field system shows that an unstable mode arises precisely at the maximum of the ADM mass M. Gleiser and R. Watkins, Nucl. Phys. B319 (1989) 733; T. D. Lee and Y. Pang, Nucl. Phys. B315, 477 (1989).

Unstable BSs can migrate, decay into a Schwarzschild black hole or disperse entirely Seidel and Suen, PRD 42 (1990) 384; Guzman, PRD 70 (2004) 044033; Hawley and Choptuik, PRD 62 (2000)104024

The vector cousin: spherical Proca stars

Brito, Cardoso, Herdeiro and Radu, Phys. Lett. B 752 (2016) 291

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G} R - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha} \right)$$

A similar construction holds yielding spherical solitonic objects: spherical Proca stars

Very similar domain of existence; Dynamical stability changes at maximal mass; Similar structure of fundamental family and excited states; but in Proca case \mathcal{A}_0 has at least one node.

Dynamics of spherical Proca stars

1) As in the scalar case, vector boson stars are perturbatively stable up to the maximal mass; then they share the same three possible fates: migration, collapse or dispersion Brito, Cardoso, Herdeiro and Radu, Phys. Lett. B 752 (2016) 291

2) As in the scalar case, vector boson stars can form dynamically via gravitational cooling Di Giovanni, Sanchis-Gual, Herdeiro and Font, PRD 98 (2018) 064044

4) As in the scalar case, one can study binaries of spherical Proca stars and their gravitational wave emission Sanchis-Gual, Herdeiro, Font, Radu and Di Giovanni, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 024017

Physics Letters B Volume 773, 10 October 2017, Pages 654-662

Asymptotically flat scalar, Dirac and Proca stars: Discrete *vs.* continuous families of solutions

Carlos A.R. Herdeiro 유 쯔, Alexandre M. Pombo 쯔, Eugen Radu 쯔

Show more 🗸

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.09.036 Under a Creative Commons license Get rights and content open access

Axially symmetric solutions ansatz (in quasi-isotropic coordinates) S.Yoshida and Y. Eriguchi, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 762; F. E. Schunck and E. W. Mielke, Phys. Lett. A 249 (1998) 389:

 $ds^{2} = -e^{2F_{0}(r,\theta)}dt^{2} + e^{2F_{1}(r,\theta)}\left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2}\right) + e^{2F_{2}(r,\theta)}r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta\left(d\varphi - W(r,\theta)dt\right)^{2} \qquad \Phi = \phi(r,\theta)e^{i(m\varphi - wt)}e^{i(m\varphi - wt)}e^{i$

The solution has three parameters: (w,m,n), but again these do not define solutions uniquely.

The Klein-Gordon plus Einstein equations yield now a system of five coupled PDEs (plus two "constraint" equations which are differential consequences of the others). To solve them:

- one performs an expansion of the unknown functions, both near the origin and asymptotically;

- the equations can be solved using a relaxation method (Newton-Raphson). For each fixed frequency w one can find various or no solutions, corresponding to different ADM masses M.

Klein Gordon equation:

$$\phi_{,rr} + \frac{1}{r^2}\phi_{,\theta\theta} + \phi_{,r}(F_{0,r} + F_{2,r}) + \frac{1}{r^2}\phi_{,\theta}(F_{0,\theta} + F_{2,\theta}) + \frac{2}{r}\phi_{,r} + \frac{\cot\theta}{r^2}\phi_{,\theta}$$
$$- \left(\frac{e^{-2F_2}m^2}{r^2\sin^2\theta} - e^{-2F_0}(w - mW)^2 + \mu^2\right)e^{2F_1}\phi = 0$$

The Einstein equations are combined to have second derivatives of a single function:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1,rr} + \frac{1}{r^2} F_{1,\theta\theta} - \left(F_{0,r}F_{2,r} + \frac{1}{r^2}F_{0,\theta}F_{2,\theta}\right) - \frac{e^{-2F_0 + 2F_2}r^2 \sin^2\theta}{4} \left(W_{,r}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}W_{,\theta}^2\right) - \frac{F_{0,r}}{r} \\ + \frac{F_{1,r}}{r} - \frac{\cot\theta F_{0,\theta}}{r^2} + 8\pi \left(\phi_{,r}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\phi_{,\theta}^2 + e^{2F_1}\left[e^{-2F_0}(w - mW)^2 - \frac{e^{-2F_2}m^2}{r^2\sin^2\theta}\right]\phi^2\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2,rr} + \frac{1}{r^2}F_{2,\theta\theta} + F_{2,r}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}F_{2,\theta}^2 + F_{0,r}F_{2,r} + \frac{1}{r^2}F_{0,\theta}F_{2,\theta} + \frac{e^{-2F_0 + 2F_2}r^2\sin^2\theta}{2} \left(W_{,r}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}W_{,\theta}^2\right) \\ + \frac{1}{r}\left(F_{0,r} + \frac{\cot\theta F_{0,\theta}}{r}\right) + \frac{3F_{2,r}}{r} + \frac{2\cot\theta F_{2,\theta}}{r^2} + 8\pi e^{2F_1}\left(\mu^2 + \frac{2e^{-2F_2}m^2}{r^2\sin^2\theta}\right)\phi^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{0,rr} + \frac{1}{r^2}F_{0,\theta\theta} + F_{0,r}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}F_{0,\theta}^2 + F_{0,r}F_{2,r} + \frac{1}{r^2}F_{0,\theta}F_{2,\theta} - \frac{e^{-2F_0 + 2F_2}r^2\sin^2\theta}{2} \left(W_{,r}^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}W_{,\theta}^2\right) \\ + \frac{2F_{0,r}}{r} + \frac{\cot\theta F_{0,\theta}}{r^2} + \frac{N'F_{2,r}}{r^2} - 8\pi e^{2F_1}\left(2e^{-2F_0}(w - mW)^2 - \mu^2\right)\phi^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$W_{,rr} + \frac{1}{r^2} W_{,\theta\theta} + (3F_{2,r} - F_{0,r}) W_{,r} + \frac{1}{r^2} (3F_{2,\theta} - F_{0,\theta}) W_{,\theta}$$
$$+ \frac{4}{r} \left(W_{,r} + \frac{3\cot\theta W_{,\theta}}{4r} \right) + 32\pi \frac{e^{2F_1 - 2F_2}m(w - mW)}{r^2\sin^2\theta} \phi^2 = 0$$

Lectures by Jorge Delgado (jorgedelgado@ua.pt) on obtaining spherical bosonic stars:

https://indico.cern.ch/event/951466/timetable/

https://indico.cern.ch/event/951466/contributions/4014176/attachments/2108709/3546700/ Workshop_Lecture1.pdf

https://indico.cern.ch/event/951466/contributions/4014177/attachments/2109749/3548748/ Workshop_Lecture2.pdf

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eiIgzb5HBto&feature=youtu.be

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YHnxezCWH3Y&feature=youtu.be

The maximum value for the ADM mass increases with m:

$$M_{\rm ADM}^{\rm max} \simeq \alpha_{\rm BS} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{\mu} \simeq \alpha_{\rm BS} \, 10^{-19} M_{\odot} \left(\frac{{\rm GeV}}{\mu}\right) \qquad {\rm m=0:} \ {\rm m=1:}$$

m=0: $\alpha_{BS} = 0.633$ m=1: $\alpha_{BS} = 1.315$ S.Yoshida and Y. Eriguchi, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 762 m=2: $\alpha_{BS} = 2.216$ P. Grandelement C. Somé and F. Gourgoulhon, Phys. Rev. D

P. Grandclement, C. Somé and E. Gourgoulhon, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 2, 024068 [arXiv:1405.4837 [gr-qc]].

For rotating boson stars: F. E. Schunck and E. W. Mielke, Phys. Lett. A 249 (1998) 389

$$J = mQ$$

Scalar field profile (left) and for a typical rotating boson star, m=1, first branch, w=0.85:

Surfaces of constant scalar energy density:

C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 23 (2014) 12, 1442014 [arXiv:1405.3696 [gr-qc]] Rotating boson stars are rotating "mass" tori in GR

 $|\Phi|^2$

 $\mathcal{R}(\Phi)$

 $\mathcal{I}(\Phi)$

Spinning scalar boson stars have a non-axisymmetric instability Sanchis-Gual, Di Giovanni, Zilhão, CH, P. Cerda-Duran, Font and Radu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 221101 http://gravitation.web.ua.pt/node/1740

Instability may be associated to toroidal structure and is absent in cousin Proca model

Sanchis-Gual, Di Giovanni, Zilhão, CH, P. Cerda-Duran, Font and Radu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 221101 <u>http://gravitation.web.ua.pt/node/1740</u>

Rotating boson stars

Rotating Proca stars

Brito, Cardoso, CH and Radu, PLB 752 (2016) 291 CH, Radu and Rúnarsson, CQG 33 (2016) 154001 CH, Perapechka, Radu and Shnir, PLB 797 (2019) 134845 Evolution of a perturbed spinning Proca star

Sanchis-Gual, Di Giovanni, Zilhão, Herdeiro, P. Cerda-Duran, Font and Radu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 221101 http://gravitation.web.ua.pt/node/1740

Evolution of an excited spinning Proca star

Sanchis-Gual, Di Giovanni, Zilhão, Herdeiro, P. Cerda-Duran, Font and Radu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 221101 <u>http://gravitation.web.ua.pt/node/1740</u>

Mergers of spinning vector boson stars

Mergers of spinning vector boson stars

 $(M_{\rm BH}, J_{\rm BH})$

These examples are for equal masses, but we have also performed unequal mass collisions.

w/µ

GW190521 as a Merger of Proca Stars: A Potential New Vector Boson of 8.7 × 10⁻¹³ eV

Juan Calderón Bustillo⁽⁵⁾, ^{1,2,3,4,*} Nicolas Sanchis-Gual⁽⁵⁾, ^{5,6,†} Alejandro Torres-Forné, ^{7,8,9} José A. Font⁽⁶⁾, ^{8,9} Avi Vajpeyi, ^{3,4} Rory Smith⁽⁶⁾, ^{3,4} Carlos Herdeiro⁽⁶⁾, ⁶ Eugen Radu, ⁶ and Samson H. W. Leong⁽⁶⁾
 ¹Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Galicia, Spain ²Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
 ³Monash Centre for Astrophysics, School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia ⁴OzGrav: The ARC Centre of Excellence for Gravitational-Wave Discovery, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia ⁵Centro de Astrofísica e Gravitação—CENTRA, Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico—IST, Universidade de Lisboa—UL, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001, Portugal
 ⁶Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro and Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA), Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal
 ⁷Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), Am Mühlenberg 1, Potsdam 14476, Germany
 ⁸Departamento de Astronomía y Astrofísica, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner 50, 46100, Burjassot (València), Spain

(Received 26 September 2020; revised 20 November 2020; accepted 14 January 2021; published 24 February 2021)

Advanced LIGO-Virgo have reported a short gravitational-wave signal (GW190521) interpreted as a quasicircular merger of black holes, one at least populating the pair-instability supernova gap, that formed a remnant black hole of $M_f \sim 142 \ M_{\odot}$ at a luminosity distance of $d_L \sim 5.3$ Gpc. With barely visible premerger emission, however, GW190521 merits further investigation of the pre-merger dynamics and even of the very nature of the colliding objects. We show that GW190521 is consistent with numerically simulated signals from head-on collisions of two (equal mass and spin) horizonless vector boson stars (aka Proca stars), forming a final black hole with $M_f = 231^{+13}_{-17} \ M_{\odot}$, located at a distance of $d_L = 571^{+348}_{-181}$ Mpc. This provides the first demonstration of close degeneracy between these two theoretical models, for a real gravitational-wave event. The favored mass for the ultralight vector boson constituent of the Proca stars is $\mu_V = 8.72^{+0.73}_{-0.82} \times 10^{-13}$ eV. Confirmation of the Proca star interpretation, which we find statistically slightly preferred, would provide the first evidence for a long sought dark matter particle.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.081101

GW190521

PRL125(2020)10

| Waveform model | $\log \mathcal{B} \ \log \mathcal{L}_{\max}$ | | Waveform Model | $\log \mathcal{B} \log \mathcal{L}_{Max}$ | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------|----------------------------------|---|-------|
| Quasi-circular Binary Black Hole | 80.1 | 105.2 | Quasi-circular Binary Black Hole | 80.1 | 105.2 |
| Head-on Equal-mass Proca Stars | 80.9 | 106.7 | Head-on Equal-mass Proca Stars | 83.5 | 106.7 |
| Head-on Unequal-mass Proca Stars | 82.0 | 106.5 | Head-on Unequal-mass Proca Stars | 84.3 | 106.5 |
| Head-on Binary Black Hole | 75.9 | 103.2 | Head-on Binary Black Hole | 78.0 | 103.2 |

Prior: Uniform in co-moving distance

Prior: Uniform in distance

 $\omega/\mu_V = 0.893^{+0.015}_{-0.015}$

Determines $M\mu_V$

Identifying the mass of each Proca star as half of the mass of the final black hole determines the mass of the ultralight boson.

Thus we get a distribution for the mass of the ultralight boson.

| Parameter | q = 1 model | $q \neq 1 \text{ model}$ |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | |
| Primary mass | $115^{+1}_{-8} M_{\odot}$ | $115^{+1}_{-8} M_{\odot}$ |
| Secondary mass | $115^{+7}_{-8} M_{\odot}$ | $111^{+7}_{-15} M_{\odot}$ |
| Total / Final mass | $231^{+13}_{-17} M_{\odot}$ | $228^{+17}_{-15}M_{\odot}$ |
| Final spin | $0.75\substack{+0.08 \\ -0.04}$ | $0.75\substack{+0.08 \\ -0.04}$ |
| Inclination $\pi/2 - \iota - \pi/2 $ | $0.83^{+0.23}_{-0.47}$ rad | $0.58^{+0.40}_{-0.39}$ rad |
| Azimuth | $0.65^{+0.86}_{-0.54}$ rad | $0.78^{+1.23}_{-1.20}$ rad |
| Luminosity distance | 571^{+348}_{-181} Mpc | $700^{+292}_{-279} \text{ Mpc}$ |
| Redshift | $0.12^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ | $0.14_{-0.05}^{+0.06}$ |
| Total / Final redshifted mass | $258^{+9}_{-9} M_{\odot}$ | $261^{+10}_{-11} M_{\odot}$ |
| Bosonic field frequency ω/μ_V | $0.893\substack{+0.015\\-0.015}$ | $(*)0.905^{+0.012}_{-0.042}$ |
| Boson mass $\mu_V [\times 10^{-13}]$ | $8.72^{+0.73}_{-0.82}$ eV | $8.59^{+0.58}_{-0.57}$ eV |
| Maximal boson star mass | $173^{+19}_{-14} M_{\odot}$ | $175^{+13}_{-11} M_{\odot}$ |

| Parameter | q = 1 model | $q \neq 1 \text{ model}$ |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | |
| Primary mass | $115^{+7}_{-8} M_{\odot}$ | $115^{+7}_{-8} M_{\odot}$ |
| Secondary mass | $115^{+7}_{-8} M_{\odot}$ | $111^{+7}_{-15} M_{\odot}$ |
| Total / Final mass | $231^{+13}_{-17} M_{\odot}$ | $228^{+17}_{-15}M_{\odot}$ |
| Final spin | $0.75\substack{+0.08 \\ -0.04}$ | $0.75\substack{+0.08 \\ -0.04}$ |
| Inclination $\pi/2 - \iota - \pi/2 $ | $0.83^{+0.23}_{-0.47}$ rad | $0.58^{+0.40}_{-0.39}$ rad |
| Azimuth | $0.65^{+0.86}_{-0.54}$ rad | $0.78^{+1.23}_{-1.20}$ rad |
| Luminosity distance | 571^{+348}_{-181} Mpc | $700^{+292}_{-279} \text{ Mpc}$ |
| Redshift | $0.12\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.04}$ | $0.14_{-0.05}^{+0.06}$ |
| Total / Final redshifted mass | $258^{+9}_{-9} M_{\odot}$ | $261^{+10}_{-11} \ M_{\odot}$ |
| Bosonic field frequency ω/μ_V | $0.893\substack{+0.015 \\ -0.015}$ | $(*)0.905^{+0.012}_{-0.042}$ |
| Boson mass $\mu_V [\times 10^{-13}]$ | $8.72^{+0.73}_{-0.82}$ eV | $8.59^{+0.58}_{-0.57}$ eV |
| Maximal boson star mass | $173^{+19}_{-14} M_{\odot}$ | $175^{+13}_{-11} M_{\odot}$ |

 $M_{\rm max} = 173^{+19}_{-14} M_{\odot}$

No previous GW signals can be Proca star mergers.

Masses in the Stellar Graveyard Ultra-light vector boson 7.8x10⁻¹³eV 320 160-Boson stars LIGO-Virgo Black Holes 80 40 ? 20 ? 0 10 **EM Black Holes** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 **EM Neutron Stars** ø 2 LIGO-Virgo Neutron Stars ٠