#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>Wavefunctions of deformed nuclei in the collective space DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS - LUND UNIVERSITY



#### [Introduction](#page-1-0)

**[Experiments](#page-4-0)** 

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)



### **Filip Agert**

- Erik Kronkvist  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 
	- A project supervised by:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Wavefunctions of deformed nuclei in the collective space

- Gillis Carlsson
- Andrea Idini

## How do we motivate the investigation of deformed nuclei?



Figure: from P. [M](#page-0-0)öller et.al (2012)

Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 3/25

[Introduction](#page-1-0) **[Experiments](#page-4-0)** [Method](#page-5-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

**UNIVERSITY** 

#### [Introduction](#page-1-0)

- **[Experiments](#page-4-0)**
- [Method](#page-5-0)

- [Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)
- [Conclusion](#page-19-0)



#### Laboratory frame

 $\blacksquare$  Loses information about the nuclear deformation.

Problems of exploring deformed nuclei

- $\blacksquare$  The nucleus is a superposition of intrinsic wave functions.
- Spherical symmetry
- $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{H}] = 0$

#### Intrinsic frame

- Well defined deformation.
- Can be found using approaches like the GCM.
- Symmetries are broken
- $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{H}] \neq 0.$

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>Quark Gluon Plasma at CERN

#### [Introduction](#page-1-0)

#### **[Experiments](#page-4-0)**

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)



- Extreme temperatures. m.
- Protons and neutrons  $\sim$ separates into quarks and gluons  $\rightarrow$  quark gluon plasma.
- Can be achieved through high-energy ion collisions  $\sim$ TeV.
- $\blacksquare$  A way to probe the deformation of the nucleus.



Figure: from B. Bally (2022)

### <span id="page-5-0"></span>Deformation

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

**[Experiments](#page-4-0)** 

[Method](#page-5-0)

#### [Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)



The deformation of the nucleus can be shown intuitively in the (*β*2*, γ*)−plane

- $\gamma = 0^{\circ}$  : Prolate shape
- $\gamma = 60^\circ$  : Oblate shape
- 0 *< γ <* 60◦ : Triaxial shape



Figure: from M. Siciliano (2013)

Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 6/25

# GCM generating reference states

#### [Introduction](#page-1-0)

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)



- Generate basis states (reference state) with constraints from generator coordinates.
	- Deformation of the basis states is well defined
	- This is the intrinsic frame wave function
	- Each reference state has a unique combination of generator coordinates
- $\blacksquare$  Find eigenvector using these basis states
- **These are the lab frame wave functions** 
	- More in depth discussion of GCM by Jennifer Boström tommorow

## GCM generator coordinates

[Experiments](#page-4-0)

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)



Generator coordinates: [*β*2*, γ, ∆*p*, ∆*n*,* j<sup>x</sup> ]

- Chromium-50: 192 reference states.  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
- Neon-20: 191 reference states.

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>Chromium-50

Collective wavefunction of even spin yrast states  $I = 0$  to  $I = 14$ 



**UNIVERSITY** 



Figure: From A. Idini et.al (2024)

Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 9/25



# Chromium-50 nuclear shell model

**UNIVERSITY** 



## <span id="page-11-0"></span>Neon-20

#### Collective wavefunction of Yrast states



[Introduction](#page-1-0)





## Average values and smearing of the generator coordinates

 $\overline{C} = \sum$ j

 $|g_j|^2C_j$ 

**[Experiments](#page-4-0)** 

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0)

[Neon-20](#page-11-0)

### Average value:

Standard deviation:

$$
\Delta C = \left\{ \left[ \sum_j |g_j|^2 C_j^2 \right] - \left[ \overline{C} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}
$$

 $C_i$  collective coordinate and  $g_i$  collective coefficient for reference state j.



## Correlation energy

[Experiments](#page-4-0)

[Method](#page-5-0)

**[Results](#page-8-0)** 

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0)

[Neon-20](#page-11-0)



Correlation energy =  $\overline{E} - \langle E \rangle$ 

where  $\overline{E}$  is the average energy and  $\langle E \rangle$  is the energy of the eigenstate to the Hamiltonian.

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[Experiments](#page-4-0)

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0)

[Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)





Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 16/25

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[Experiments](#page-4-0)

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0)

[Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)





Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 17/25

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

**[Experiments](#page-4-0)** 

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0)

[Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)





Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 18/25

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

**[Experiments](#page-4-0)** 

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0)

[Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)





Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 19/25

## <span id="page-19-0"></span>Conclusion

#### [Introduction](#page-1-0) **[Experiments](#page-4-0)**

- [Method](#page-5-0)
- [Results](#page-8-0) [Chromium-50](#page-8-0)
- [Neon-20](#page-11-0)
- [Conclusion](#page-19-0)



- Altough nuclei with spin  $I = 0$  are not deformed in the labframe, they can be considered so in the intrinsic frame.
- Chromium:
	- Deformation decreases with spin
- Neon: **The State** 
	- **■**  $β$ <sub>2</sub> is more static and well defined than  $γ$  which is more spread.
	- *β*<sup>2</sup> ∼ 0*.*35 ± 0*.*15
	- *γ* ∼ 16◦ ± 13◦
- Behaviour of correlation energy interesting for further investigation.

Neon-20

[Introduction](#page-1-0) [Experiments](#page-4-0) [Method](#page-5-0) [Results](#page-8-0) [Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0) [Conclusion](#page-19-0)

**UNIVERSITY** 

$$
E_{rot}=\frac{\hbar^2 I(I+1)}{2\mathscr{I}}
$$

Larger  $\beta_2 \implies$  larger  $\mathscr{I} \implies$ lower  $E_{rot}$ Larger deformation (blue line) Smaller deformation (green line)



Angular momentum

### Deformation

**[Experiments](#page-4-0)** [Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)



#### How can we couple the output of GCM to nuclear deformations?

 $\beta_{\mathsf{x}} \propto \frac{\langle r^2 Y_2^0 \rangle}{\langle r^2 \rangle}$  $\frac{f(2)}{\langle r^2 \rangle}$   $\beta_y \propto$  $\left\langle r^2 Y_2^2 + r^2 Y_2^{-2} \right\rangle$  $\langle r^2 \rangle$ 

$$
\beta_2 = \sqrt{\beta_{\rm x}^2 + \beta_{\rm y}^2} \quad \gamma = \arctan \frac{\beta_{\rm y}}{\beta_{\rm x}}
$$

(a)  $Y_2^2 \propto \hat{Q}_{22}$  (b) Y

 $\hat{Q}_2^0 \propto \hat{Q}_{20}$ 



Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 22/25

### Table of average values Grid 1

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

**[Experiments](#page-4-0)** 

[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)



#### The values in this table correspond to the Yrast states (lowest energy) of the corresponding angular momentum in the first column.

Table 1: Statistical average value and standard deviation results for grid C



 $JD$ 

**UNIVERSITY** 

[Introduction](#page-1-0) [Experiments](#page-4-0) [Method](#page-5-0) [Results](#page-8-0) [Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0) [Conclusion](#page-19-0)

# Neon-20

#### Collective wavefunction of Yrast states

#### Grid without considering cranking.





Filip Agert, Erik Kronkvist 30th October 2024 24/25

## Neon-20

#### Ground state comparison of the two grids



[Method](#page-5-0)

[Results](#page-8-0)

[Chromium-50](#page-8-0) [Neon-20](#page-11-0)

[Conclusion](#page-19-0)





