AMBiT: methods, applications, tips and tricks Julian Berengut

CompAS / Lund / 13 June 2025



Unterstützt von / Supported by



Stiftung/Foundation



Australian Government

Australian Research Council

ÅMBiT: methöds, åpplicatiöns, tips and tricks Julian Berengut

CompAS / Lund / 13 June 2025



Unterstützt von / Supported by



Stiftung/Foundation



Australian Government

Australian Research Council

Motivation

- The most accurately measured numbers in physics are ratios of atomic clock transition frequencies:
 - v_{Al+}/v_{Hg+} = 1.052871833148990438 (55)¹

(NIST; fractional uncertainty 5.2×10^{-17})

- v_{Yb}/v_{Sr} = 1.207507039343337749 (55)² (RIKEN; fractional uncertainty 4.6 × 10⁻¹⁷)
- v_{E3}/v_{E2} = 0.932829404530965376 (32)³ (PTB; fractional uncertainty 3.4 × 10⁻¹⁷)
- $v_{ln+}/v_{Yb+} = 1.973773591557215789$ (9) ⁴ (PTB; fractional uncertainty 4.4 × 10⁻¹⁸)
- These are sensitive to everything, but we cannot calculate the spectrum below around 1% accuracy.

So what can we do with these?

¹Rosenband et al. Science 319, 1808 (2008) ²Nemitz et al. Nat. Photonics 10, 258 (2016) ³Lange et al. PRL 126 011102 (2021) ⁴Hausser et al. arXiv: 2402.16807 (2024)

Differential measurements

- Atomic parity violation
 - Limits running of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ at low energy; limits on extra Z boson.
- Searches for eEDM, nEDM
- Tests of Lorentz symmetry, local position invariance, CPT
- Limits on time variations of α , μ
- Coupling of fundamental constants to gravity; axion or scalar axion-like particle searches (dark matter candidate)
- Searches for new bosons using isotope shift

Why is atomic structure hard?

- We already know the formulas
- Non-relativistic; atomic units ($\hbar = m_e = e = 1$): $\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi$

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_e} - \frac{Ze^2}{r_i} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_j|}$$
$$\Psi = \Psi(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{r}_N)$$

- So what's the problem?
- Let each r_i sit on a 10³ point radial grid (rather coarse!) then Ψ is 10^{3N} dimensional.
- Can represent it using 10^{3N} real numbers.
 There are 10⁸⁰ atoms in the universe.

The original sin

(of atomic structure theory)

- Solution: independent-particle approximation.
- Forget about this awful two body $e^2/|r_i r_j|$ term.
- The wavefunction is made up of electrons in orbitals $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, ..., \mathbf{r}_N) = \psi_{1s\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}_1)\psi_{1s\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}_2)\psi_{2s\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}_3) ...$
- Don't forget to antisymmetrise.
- Then the approximate energy is $E^{(0)} = \varepsilon_{1s\uparrow} + \varepsilon_{1s\downarrow} + \varepsilon_{2s\uparrow} + \cdots$
- Leaving out two-body term entirely is a bad idea: we need to start with good orbitals. Use relativistic Hartree-Fock $\hat{h}_0 = c \alpha \cdot p + \beta c^2 - Z/r + \hat{V}_{dir} + \hat{V}_{exch}$
- Spend the rest of your life fixing what you just did.

Redemption

- Bring back $e^2/|\mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{r}_j|$!
- More methods than there are theorists. Including:
 - Hartree Fock (or relativistic Dirac-Fock)
 - Multiconfiguration Hartree-Fock (or MCDF)
 - Many-body perturbation theory (so many flavours)
 - Coupled-cluster (ditto)
 - Brueckner orbitals
 - Configuration interaction (CI)
 - CI+MBPT
 - MCDF-CI
 - CI+All order
 - CIPT and emu-CI
 - Particle-hole CI+MBPT
- Mix and match with your favourite codes and colleagues!

Don't forget QED

Breit interaction (frequency-independent will do)

$$B_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2r_{ij}} \left(\vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{\alpha}_j + \frac{(\vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{r}_{ij})(\vec{\alpha}_j \cdot \vec{r}_{ij})}{r_{ij}^2} \right)$$

- Vacuum polarization (Uehling): not too bad, really.
- Self-energy: really bad, actually.



 Approximate QED using an effective potential or model operator approach

AMBiT – atomic structure code

- Hole-particle CI + MBPT
- Fully relativistic
- Modern C++, parallel, scalable implementation:
 - Optimised on your laptop, workstation, or cluster
- Very flexible, does lots of fancy things (isotope shift, QED, matrix elements, continuum processes ...)
- "Nice" interface
- Installation via CMake
- Documentation (!)
- Publicly available at github.com/drjuls/ambit

One valence electron: Fr

- Single reference Dirac-Hartree-Fock: accuracy ~10%
- Usually use a B-spline basis for valence + virtual states
- Use a log-linear lattice that dynamically resizes as needed
- Continuum orbitals included in HF potential



Dzuba, Flambaum, Sushkov, PLA 140, 493 (1989); PRA 51, 3454 (1995)

One valence electron: Fr

- Single reference Dirac-Hartree-Fock: accuracy ~10%
- Treat core-valence correlations with many-body perturbation theory (MBPT), accuracy ~1%
- Sum leading MBPT diagrams to all orders, ~0.1%



Dzuba, Flambaum, Sushkov, PLA 140, 493 (1989); PRA 51, 3454 (1995)

One valence electron





4

 You can chain these together, for example by making a matrix operator Σ̂ that expresses these sums and including it in the selfconsistent Hartree-Fock procedure:

 $(\hat{h}_0 + \hat{\Sigma})\psi_i^{Br} = \varepsilon_i\psi_i^{Br}$

 Other "all-order" methods include various Fock-space coupled-cluster methods (see Borschevsky talk).

One valence electron



- In these diagrams α and β lines are summed over an infinite number of valence and virtual states (including the continuum).
- In practice we construct a finite basis. Many options but most common are probably Hartree-Fock, B-splines, and Sturmians.

Multiple valence electrons

- Configuration interaction (CI) treats valence-valence correlations to all orders
- Accuracy between few % and terrible %.



 Write many-body wavefunction as sum over many-particle "configuration state functions" |I>





 Write many-body wavefunction as sum over many-particle "configuration state functions" |I>



 Write many-body wavefunction as sum over many-particle "configuration state functions" |I> Configuration



• Reduces to matrix-eigenvalue problem:

$$\sum_{J} H_{IJ} C_{J} = E C_{I}$$

with $H_{IJ} = \langle I | \hat{H} | J \rangle$ and $| \Psi \rangle = \sum C_{I} | I \rangle$.

• Reduces to matrix-eigenvalue problem:

$$\sum_{J} H_{IJ} C_{J} = E C_{I}$$

with $H_{IJ} = \langle I | \hat{H} | J \rangle$ and $| \Psi \rangle = \sum C_I | I \rangle$.

- It's always more complicated.
 The CSFs |I> are:
 - Relativistic configurations (j-j coupling), e.g. $7s^2 6d_{3/2}$, $7s^2 6d_{5/2}$, $7s 6d_{3/2}^2$
 - Eigenvalues of projection \hat{J}_z
 - Eigenvalues of angular momentum \hat{J}^2
 - There are many possible projections of the same relativistic configuration with given \hat{J}_z , which are in linear combination in the CSF.

AMBiT: Keep Angular Data

- Take configuration and reduce principal quantum number, e.g. 7s 6p_{3/2} 7p_{3/2} → 1s 1p_{3/2} 2p_{3/2}
- Generate set of all "projections" corresponding to a given value of J_z ,
 - e.g. $1s_{1/2(+1/2)}1p_{3/2(+1/2)}2p_{3/2(-1/2)}$, $1s_{1/2(-1/2)}1p_{3/2(+3/2)}2p_{3/2(-1/2)}$, $1s_{1/2(-1/2)}1p_{3/2(+1/2)}2p_{3/2(+1/2)}$, ...
- Generate the matrix \hat{J}^2 for these projections and diagonalise, keeping eigenvectors with desired eigenvalue J(J + 1).
- Store these CSFs in an AngularData directory forever.

- **Problem**: Number of CSFs for given symmetry J^{π} grows exponentially (combinatorically? factorially?).
- That's why we can't just do full CI including all core states for heavy atoms.
- And we need to restrict number of excitations (e.g. singles, doubles, important triples and quadruples).
- But we do need to include core-valence correlations.

- Designed for few-valence-electron systems, e.g. Tl (3 electron), accuracy between ~0.1% and 1%
- Treat core-valence correlations with MBPT, valence-valence correlations with CI



Dzuba, Flambaum, Kozlov, PRA 54, 3948 (1996)

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}} \left(H_{IJ} + \sum_{M \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{\langle I | \hat{H} | M \rangle \langle M | \hat{H} | J \rangle}{E - E_M} \right) C_J = E C_I$$

Q is complementary to P: includes CSFs with core holes,
 e.g.:



Dzuba, Flambaum, Kozlov, PRA 54, 3948 (1996)



MBPT is second-order in residual interaction

Group orbitals into core, valence, and virtual



Dzuba, Flambaum, Kozlov, PRA 54, 3948 (1996)

Two body diagrams





c

d







n

 α





5



One body diagrams





2



1



4

a



Three body diagram



- Effective three-body term in the Hamiltonian.
- Impossible to store (combinatorics again), need to generate on the fly.

 Subtraction diagrams come from using different singleparticle operators for creating orbitals (Dirac-Fock) and CI. e.g. TI basis formed in V^{N-1}, but CI in V^{N-3}





н Х

2

 Example: Hg⁺ has 11 active electrons, which leads to very large subtraction diagrams, making CI+MBPT not work very well.



 Example: Hg⁺ has 11 active electrons, which leads to very large subtraction diagrams, making CI+MBPT not work very well.



Particle-hole CI+MBPT:

- Move the Fermi level
- Optionally include valence-virtual MBPT in diagrammatic expansion



Berengut, PRA 94, 012502 (2016)

Hg+ Level	CI+MBPT (cm ⁻¹)	Particle-hole CI+MBPT (cm ⁻¹)	Experiment (cm ⁻¹)
6s ² S _{1/2}	0	0	0
5d ⁻¹ 6s ² ² D _{5/2}	32305	35121	35515
5d ⁻¹ 6s ² ² D _{3/2}	48001	50446	50556



Berengut, PRA 94, 012502 (2016)

 Another way of getting valence-virtual correlations. For when you really just need a lot of configuration interaction. Nsmall



 Another way of getting valence-virtual correlations. For when you really just need a lot of configuration interaction.



 Another way of getting valence-virtual correlations. For when you really just need a lot of configuration interaction.



- Neglect interaction between high-energy states with small contributions
- Example: Ta, Db

 (5 valence electrons)
 including orbitals up to 21spdf
 for J^π = 5/2⁻ uses
 N = 952112
 N_{small} = 20462
- Factor 40 reduction in size and speed
- Solve using iterative method (Davidson)



Geddes, Czapski, Kahl, Berengut, PRA 98, 042508 (2018)



- Alternative based on perturbation expansion over configurations (but not orbitals as in CI+MBPT).
- Very similar philosophy to emu CI.

$$H_{IJ} \rightarrow H_{IJ} + \sum_{K} \frac{\langle I | \hat{H} | K \rangle \langle K | \hat{H} | J \rangle}{E - E_{K}}$$

 Need to make sum for all matrix elements – can get expensive.



Dzuba, Berengut, Harabati, Flambaum, PRA 95, 012503 (2017)

AMBiT: CI parallelisation

- CI Matrix is divided into chunks that are distributed amongst MPI processes.
- Workload for a configuration ~O(#CSFs × #projections²) and is extremely skewed.
- Each MPI process generates and stores own chunks.
- OpenMP is used to distribute work within each process.





Cartoon showing chunks belonging to a single MPI process.

Atomic structure calculations

- Regardless of method used, there are still decisions to be made
 - Starting configuration for Dirac-Fock procedure? (V^N, V^{N-1}, ...)
 - Create basis: B-splines, L-spinors, Sturmians ...?
 - Multiconfiguration Dirac-Fock? Orbitals not orthonormal.
 - How many valence and virtual orbitals to include? Maximum angular momentum of orbitals?
 - Choose configurations: single and double excitations? Hole excitations? Valence triples, quadruples?

Atomic structure calculations

- What about transitions and additional operators? We have the wavefunctions, so why not simply $\langle 0 \rangle = \langle \Psi | \hat{0} | \Psi \rangle$? But this is only first-order perturbation theory!
- Sometimes can add a term to the Hamiltonian directly, leading to "all order" method, e.g.:
 - Change in fine-structure constant
 - Isotope shift
 - Any scalar operators
- Electronic transitions and hyperfine structure cannot generally be treated in this way.
- Random phase approximation (RPA) models the effect of core polarisation, but is challenging for many valence electron atoms.

Emu CI+MBPT convergence

Ta (5 valence electrons): selected even states



Geddes, Czapski, Kahl, Berengut, PRA 98, 042508 (2018)

AMBiT Scaling

CI+MBPT scaling in Cr⁺ (16 cores/node)



Credit: Emily Kahl

Number of cores

Pr⁹⁺ experiment and theory



Bekker, Borschevsky, Harman, Keitel, Pfeifer, Schmidt, Crespo, Berengut, Nature Communications 10, 5651 (2019)

Results: Lu⁺ (homologue of Lr⁺)

CI+MBPT and FSCC calculations including Breit and QED

				Energy (cm ⁻¹)		
State	tate	FSCC	Δ QED	CI+MBPT	Δ QED	Expt. [33]
$\overline{6s^2}$	${}^{1}S_{0}$ IP	112696	-100			111970
5d6s	${}^{3}D_{1}$	12354	-158	11664	-144	11796
	${}^{3}D_{2}$	12985	-156	12380	-143	12432
	${}^{3}D_{3}$	14702	-148	14267	-134	14199
	${}^{1}D_{2}$	17892	-157	17875	-160	17332
6 <i>s</i> 6 <i>p</i>	${}^{3}P_{0}$	27091	-103	27303	-105	27264
_	${}^{3}P_{1}$	28440	-105	28520	-106	28503
	${}^{3}P_{2}$	32294	-89	32603	-97	32453
	${}^{1}P_{1}$	38464	-155	37385	-129	38223

Kahl, Berengut, Laatiaoui, Eliav, Borschevsky, PRA 100, 062505 (2019)

Results: Lr⁺

CI+MBPT and FSCC calculations including Breit and QED

			Energy (cm ⁻¹)					
State		FSCC	ΔQED	CI+MBPT	ΔQED	Lifetime (s)		
$\overline{7s^2}$	${}^{1}S_{0}$	116949	-219					
6d7s	${}^{3}D_{1}$	20265	-342	21426	-374	2.23×10^{6}		
	${}^{3}D_{2}$	21623	-344	22507	-373	8.26×10^{-2}		
	${}^{3}D_{3}$	26210	-326	26313	-355	2.97×10^{-2}		
	${}^{1}D_{2}$	31200	-373	30942	-397	1.53×10^{-3}		
7s7p	${}^{3}P_{0}$	29487	-167	29059	-306	2.56×10^{-7}		
-	${}^{3}P_{1}$	31610	-179	31470	-314	$1.45 imes 10^{-8}$		
	${}^{3}P_{2}$	43513	-240	42860	-308	2.43×10^{-8}		
	${}^{1}P_{1}^{-}$	47819	-260	46771	-376	1.11×10^{-9}		

• QED contributions are still below correlation uncertainties

Kahl, Berengut, Laatiaoui, Eliav, Borschevsky, PRA 100, 062505 (2019)

Results: Lu⁺ vs Lr⁺



Lr⁺ (solid, red) Lu⁺ (dashed, black)

Ground state 7s² of Lr⁺ is relativistically stabilised.

Kahl, Berengut, Laatiaoui, Eliav, Borschevsky, PRA 100, 062505 (2019)

Results: Lr



Kahl, Raeder, Eliav, Borschevsky, Berengut, PRA 104, 052810 (2021)

Summary

- Many methods for finding atomic structure, but fewer for heavy open shell systems.
- Particle-hole CI+MBPT is not terrible.
- It's an atomic physics and computational challenge: need good methods, well coded!

Thanks to many collaborators and to you for listening.

https://github.com/drjuls/ambit

AMBiT Relativistic Atomic Structure for Everyone

Now you can access precision atomic structure with AMBIT, a software package for fully relativistic, ab initio calculations of electronic structure of atoms and ions, calculate emergy levels, electric and magnetic multipole transition matrix elements, g factors, hyperfine struc-

structure of atoms and ions. Calculate energy levels, electric and magnetic multipole transition matrix elements, g factors, hyperine structure, isotope shift, and much more? AMBIT implements the particle-hole configuration interaction with may-holy perturbation theory method?, which estends the CL+MBIT method? to non-perturbatively include of guarantees with electron holes below the designated Fermi level. This provides the flexibility required to handle all important configurations strengt the facers in sufficience.

B-spline basis set used in CI is increased from 11spdf

to 21spdf³. Emu CI reduces the calculation size by a

factor of 40: the largest calculations of Ta in [5] have matrices of size 952112 x 20462.

Converged configuration interaction with 'emu CI'

Convergence of atomic calculations with increasing basis size should be at the heart of all atomic structure calculations. Vie in the CLANBPT framework this is non-tybene possible with hero-valenceelectron atoms and ions since the configuration interaction grows exponentially fast with increasing basis size. AMITs implements emu CLP. a robust method of decreasing the matrix size without under mining the accuracy of the resulting atomic spectra. In this method, matrix elements between high energy configurations are set to zero, with little effect on the accuracy of low lying levels. Emu CL makes it possible to saturate the CL matrix in atoms with many valence electrons, allowing conversence in difficult systems with four or more valence electrons.



Structure of the CI matrix under the emu CI approximation. The most important configurations are in the upper left corner, while the shaded areas are the effective CI matrix. The unshaded area represents interactions between high energy states which are set to zero.

User-friendly, easy to install, and well documented

Due to the need to have AMBiT optimised for each system, AMBiT is only distributed by source code. To make building easier, we use SCons to find packages automatically and provide flexibility as required. AMBIT is fluid yoccumented, with user guides and example input scripts to help users lake full advantage of AMBIT's flexibility. All features in AMBIT can be controlled at run-time using input files and the command line.



Julian Berengut and Emily Kahl arXiv:1805.11265 (2018) https://github.com/drjuls/ambit

Highly accurate energies and wavefunctions

AMBIT is based on the Dirac-Coulomb Hamiltionian with optional Breit and QED. It has been used for many years to calculate low-lying spectra in atomic clock species, astrophys- ically relevant atoms and ions, and highly-charged ions. Recent calculations include neutral Ta and Db: highly relativistic atoms with five valence electrons⁵.

Flexibility and BOD signify relativised abouts with the Valence Electronis ;
 Flexibility and State Valence holes to Sh^{the} (dour valence electrons) which are relevant for EUV light generation from laser-produced plasma⁵;
 The electron-hole transition in Hg: which is the reference for an optical clock at NIST².

the electron-hole transition in Hg^{*} which is the reference for an optical clock at NIST².
 In these complicated systems, the particle-hole CI+MBPT method implemented in AMBIT outperforms the competition.



Measured energy levels (blue) and particle-hole CI+MBPT calculations using AMBiT (red) for the [Kr] $4d^3$ ground state configuration of Sn⁹⁺.

Speed up of a large Cr⁺ calculation using parallel processing on 16 cores/node Xeon architecture. AMBIT uses OpenMP for shared-memory parallel ism within the socket or node, and MPI for internode communication.

Scales on your architecture

Scales up from your laptop to an office vordstation or state-of-he-art national clutter. AMB/T is written in modern C+11 and uses hoth OpenMM and AMP panellelism models to take full advantage of today's computer architectures, which typically consist of large, relatively loosely connected nodes. All timeconsuming stages of the CH-MBPT calculation are parallelised, including generation of MBPT diagrams, calculation of angular factors, creation and diagonalisation of the Hamiltonian matrix, and calculation of transition matrix elements from the resulting level structure.

Particle-Hole CI+MBPT

- Start with open-shell Dirac-Hartree-Fock, optionally including Breit, QED, nuclear size and massshift corrections, and additional local potentials.
- Choose your Fermi level; this can be below or above any valence electrons or holes.
 Create a basis set using B-splines. Choose your frozen core, below which holes cannot be included
 Core and the set of the s
- Crédia: a tasis set saling dispunse. Choose your nozer forbit, elevity withor fuels califiable includes in CL. The effect of the forces con electrons may be included using second-order MBPT.
 Generate configurations by flexibly promoting electrons and from a set of leading configurations. Run AMBIT to generate configuration state functions. The resulting angular data is stored for other
- Run AMBiT to generate configuration state functions. The resulting angular data is stored for othe calculations! Check the size of your CI matrices and adjust for your computer system.
 Generate second-order MBPT diagrams; generate and diagonalise the CI matrix.
- Calculate level properties and transition matrix elements.

00

ADDRESS

 References

 1. E. V. Kahl and I. C. Berengut, arXiv:1805.11265 (2018)

 2. J. C. Berengut, Phys. Rev. A 94, 012502 (2016)

 3. V. A. Dzuba, V.V. Flambaum, and M. G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. A 54, 3948 (1996)

 4. J. C. Berengut, V. Flambaum, and M. G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. A 73, 012504 (2006)

 5. J. C. Berengut, J. V. Flambaum, and M. G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. A 73, 012504 (2006)

 6. J. C. Berengut, J. Phys. Rev. A 51, 012604 (2006)

 6. J. C. Berengut, J. Phys. Rev. A 51, 012604 (2016)

 6. Formeti et al., Phys. Rev. A 52, 0126203 (2017)

Yes, I would like a personalised link to the AMBIT website! Mail to: e kahl@student.unsw.edu.au julian.berengut@unsw.edu.au School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia